www.nature.com/aja

Original Article

The *in vitro* effects of superoxide, some commercially available antioxidants and red palm oil on sperm motility

Yapo Guillaume Aboua¹, Stefan Stephanus du Plessis², Patricia Reichgelt², Nicole Brooks¹

¹Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Wellness Sciences, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville 7535, South Africa

²Division of Medical Physiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Tygerberg 7505, South Africa

Abstract

In this study, two commercially available superoxide scavengers, tetrakis (1-methyl-4-pyridyl) porphyrin (Mn[III]TMPyP) and superoxide dismutase (SOD), as well as red palm oil (RPO), a natural vegetable oil, had been used to investigate their possible *in vitro* effects against the toxic effects of superoxide (O_2 ·) on human sperm motility. Semen samples were obtained from 12 normozoospermic healthy volunteer donors aged between 19 and 23 years. The O_2 · donor 2,3-dimetoxyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (DMNQ) (2.5 µmol L⁻¹–100 µmol L⁻¹) was added to normozoospermic post-swim-up sperm in the presence or absence of Mn(III)TMPyP (50 µmol L⁻¹), SOD (50 IU) or RPO (0.1% or 0.5%). Computer-assisted semen analysis was used to analyze various motility parameters. The parameters of interest were percentage of motile cells, progressive motility, rapid cells and static cells. Concentrations of higher than 25 µmol L⁻¹ DMNQ were detrimental to sperm motility. Mn(III)TMPyP was able to attenuate the effect of O_2 · on the motility parameters. *In vitro* addition of SOD and RPO showed harmful effects on sperm motility.

Asian Journal of Andrology (2009) 11: 695-702. doi: 10.1038/aja.2009.55; published online 5 October 2009.

Keywords: antioxidants, motility, red palm oil, superoxide

1 Introduction

Sperm motility has been shown to be a good predictor of human male fertility both *in vivo* and *in vitro* [1]. Motility parameters such as progressive motility, rapid cells and static cells determine the quality of human semen and are clinically important [2]. This implies that any natural or pharmaceutical compounds that enhance sperm motility might improve male fertility. However, sperm cells are under constant attack

Correspondence to: Dr Stefan Stephanus du Plessis, Stellenbosch University, Medical Physiology, PO Box 19063, Tygerberg, Western Cape 7505, South Africa. Fax: +27-21-938-9476 E-mail: ssdp@sun.ac.za Received: 26 February 2009 Revised: 28 July 2009 Accepted: 10 August 2009 Published online: 5 October 2009

http://www.asiaandro.com; aja@sibs.ac.cn | Asian Journal of Andrology

by reactive oxygen species (ROS), which had been associated with sub-fertility or even infertility [3–5]. The most common ROS that have potential implications in reproductive biology include superoxide anion (O_2 ·[–]), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), peroxyl radicals and the very reactive hydroxyl radical [3, 6, 7].

In sperm cells, the sources of ROS are broadly dispersed between external and internal sources. External production of ROS, particularly O_2 .⁻ and H_2O_2 , can be the result of leukocyte contamination within the semen. Another important source of ROS is immature and morphologically abnormal spermatozoa [8]. Recently, two mechanisms involved in ROS generation in spermatozoa, *per se*, have been characterized in rat epididymal spermatozoa [9]. One mechanism depends on the mitochondrial respiratory chain, whereas the other mechanism relies on an enzymatic system related to the reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase family found bound to the sperm plasma membrane [9]. The mitochondrial electron transport chain is known to produce ROS not only during physiological, but also during pathological conditions. This production of ROS can be correlated to physical activity. The topology of O_2 · production has been determined in the different complexes of the respiratory chain. Interestingly, complexes I, II and III were found to produce hydrogen peroxide, albeit at very low levels [10].

Excessive production of ROS is associated with peroxidative damage to the sperm plasma membrane and DNA. This can result in poor sperm quality and male factor infertility [11, 12]. Lipid peroxidation (LPO) of the sperm membrane is considered to be the key mechanism of ROS-induced sperm damage. Spermatozoa, unlike other cells, are unique in structure, function and susceptibility to damage by LPO [13]. A balance between the cellular production of ROS and their destruction by scavengers in sperm and in seminal plasma is vital for the cell survival. Oxidative stress (OS) arises as a consequence of excessive ROS production and/or impaired antioxidant defense mechanisms [8]. A variety of defense mechanisms including antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, glutathione peroxidase and reductase), vitamins (E, C and carotenoids) and biomolecules (ubiquinol) are involved [14, 15]. Studies have shown that some pharmaceutical [16] and nutritive substances [17, 18] improved sperm motility. Red palm oil (RPO), which is regarded as the only vegetable oil with a balanced composition of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids both in processed and unprocessed forms [19], contains carotenoids, phosphatides, sterols, tocopherols and trace metals [20, 21]. These agents are natural antioxidants and act as scavengers of oxygen-free radicals [22, 23].

In this study, two commercially available superoxide scavengers, Mn(III)TMPyP and SOD, as well as RPO, a natural cocktail of antioxidants, had been used to investigate their possible *in vitro* effects against the toxicity of superoxide on human sperm motility parameters.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sperm collection

Semen samples were obtained from 12 normozoospermic healthy donors aged between 19 and 23 years, after 2–3 days of abstinence, according to the World Health Organization criteria [24]. Semen samples were collected in sterile containers and allowed to liquefy for 30 min at 37°C. Ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board was obtained and donors have provided consent to participate in this study.

2.2 Semen preparation

Motile sperm fractions were retrieved from the samples using a double wash in fresh Hams-F10 medium (400 × g, 5 min, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) swim-up technique (Hams-F10 + bovine serum albumin, 3%, Sigma, 37°C, 5% CO₂). After 1 h, the supernatant containing motile sperm was collected. Sperm concentrations were determined by means of computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA), and the retrieved cells were divided into aliquots and the concentration was adjusted to 2×10^6 cells per mL.

2.3 Sperm motility determination

Sperm cells were incubated at 37°C with or without 50 μ mol L⁻¹ Mn(III)TMPyP (Sigma) or 50 IU mL⁻¹ SOD (Sigma), or 0.1% or 0.5% RPO. RPO (Carotino SDN BHD Co: 69046-T, Johar-Bahru, Malaysia) was dissolved in propylene glycol as described previously [25] to administer the oil to an aqueous sperm suspension. After 30 min, 2,3-dimetoxyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (DMNQ), an O₂· generator (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA, dissolve in DMSO) was added at concentrations of 0 μ mol L⁻¹, 2.5 μ mol L⁻¹, 5 μ mol L⁻¹, 10 μ mol L⁻¹, 25 μ mol L⁻¹, 50 μ mol L⁻¹ and 100 μ mol L⁻¹ to the sperm samples and incubated for a further 60 min at 37°C.

Several sperm motility parameters were determined by means of CASA on Hamilton-Thorne IVOS analyzers (Hamilton-Thorne Research, Beverly, MA, USA). The parameters of interest were percentage of motile cells, progressive motility, rapid cells and static cells, as they give a good indication of the general motility, DNA integrity and fertilizing ability status of spermatozoa [2]. The Hamilton-Thorne IVOS settings were as follows: Image capture-30 frames at 60 Hz; minimum contrast—80; minimum cell size—2; minimum static contrast-30; Progressive velocity: path velocity (VAP)—25 μ s⁻¹ and Straightness (STR)—80%; Slow cells: static VAP cut-off, 5 µm s⁻¹ and straightline velocity (VSL) cut-off—11 μ s⁻¹; head size; STR = VSL/VAP \times 100; Rapid cells = % of all cells moving with VAP > MVV; Medium = % of all cells moving with LVV < VAP > MVV; Slow = % of all cells moving with VAP \leq LVV or VSL \leq LVS; Static = % of cells that



L

1

1

are not moving at all; slow cells classified as not motile.

2.4 Statistical analyses

GaphPadTM PRISM 4 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for all statistical evaluations. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (with Bonferroni post test if P < 0.05) was used for statistical analyses. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Differences were regarded statistically significant if P < 0.05 and highly significant if P < 0.001.

3 Results

3.1 The effects of exogenous superoxide on sperm motility parameters

From Table 1, it can be seen that the *in vitro* addition of exogenous superoxide in the form of DMNO considerably decreased the percentage of motile cells, progressive motility and rapid cells at a concentration of 50 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNO. On the other hand static cells notably increased already from 25 µmol L⁻¹ DMNQ when compared with the control (0 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNO). Table 2 shows a decrease in the percentages of motile cells, progressively motile cells and rapid cells from 25 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNO. However, the percentages of the static cells for all concentrations from 5 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ were significantly higher than control values. Table 3 shows the addition of $25-100 \text{ }\mu\text{mol }L^{-1}$ DMNO extensively decreased the percentage of motile cells while increasing the static cells. The percentages of progressive motility and rapid cells, was however significantly lower than control values for all concentrations from 2.5 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ.

3.2 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of Mn(III)TMPyP

Table 1 shows that the addition of Mn(III)TMPyP reversed the negative effect of superoxide on motile cells, progressive motility and rapid cells at 50 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ and static cells at 25 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ. Moreover, Mn(III)TMPyP treatment considerably decreased the percentage of motile cells at 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ. However, Mn(III)TMPyP treatment notably increased the percentage of static cell at 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ.

3.3 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of SOD

Table 2 shows that samples treated with DMNQ in combination with SOD showed considerably lower



1 414110/013	TICAUTION	0	2.5	5	10	25	50	100
Motile (%)	I	63.64 ± 6.20	58.50 ± 4.56	56.10 ± 4.43	59.00 ± 6.60	49.00 ± 4.69	$39.70 \pm 3.32^{*}$	$28.10 \pm 3.41^{*}$
	+ Mn(III)TMPy	59.82 ± 3.26	53.27 ± 5.06	49.64 ± 3.94	54.73 ± 3.08	54.27 ± 2.50	46.82 ± 3.74	$16.36 \pm 2.06^{*, \$}$
Progressive motility (%	-	37.00 ± 4.30	32.00 ± 3.95	26.60 ± 2.60	36.90 ± 4.48	27.50 ± 5.72	$16.00 \pm 2.37^{*}$	$5.60 \pm 1.12^{*}$
	+ Mn(III)TMPy	35.82 ± 2.55	31.64 ± 4.26	25.27 ± 3.23	32.00 ± 3.25	30.36 ± 2.20	23.36 ± 1.68	$3.36\pm0.52^*$
Rapid cells (%)	I	49.10 ± 6.23	47.40 ± 5.00	43.10 ± 4.25	50.90 ± 5.95	40.70 ± 4.55	$29.70 \pm 2.95^{*}$	$13.50 \pm 1.93^{*}$
	+ Mn(III)TMPy	49.45 ± 3.21	43.73 ± 5.45	38.18 ± 3.75	43.73 ± 3.78	43.73 ± 2.90	33.18 ± 3.17	$9.27\pm1.74^*$
Static cells (%)	Ι	24.60 ± 8.08	27.00 ± 4.15	28.90 ± 4.15	27.40 ± 7.11	$34.60 \pm 4.82^{*}$	$38.50 \pm 3.23^{*}$	$42.00 \pm 4.88^{*}$
	+ Mn(III)TMPy	24.09 ± 3.36	31.09 ± 5.16	31.36 ± 3.53	28.27 ± 3.33	30.91 ± 2.83	$35.91 \pm 5.44^{*}$	$57.82 \pm 5.54^{*, \$}$
Abbreviation: DMNQ, 2,3	l-dimetoxyl-1,4-naph	thoquinone.	- : 11 - : - : - :	<u>300 ~ 4</u> 3: 1	J	100 0 ~ Q J; T		
/3111PC 3TP TPUTPCPUIPU 3C		TRUCT STATE SAUGT	UP A LEADENCE AND A LEADENCE	CUU > A If Incontin-		$P_{A} = P_{A} = P_{A$		

P < 0.001, compared with corresponding controls (0 µmol L⁻¹ DMNQ and no Mn[III]TMPyP); ^sP < 0.05, compared with Mn(III)TMPyP untreated parameter of the same mgm y sigmi aines are represented .QMNQ.



Table 2. The effects of supe	roxide on sper	m motility parame	eters in the presenc	e or absence of SO)D $(n = 12)$.			
Doromotore	Tractmont				JMNQ (μmol L ⁻¹)			
r atallicicis	11 Caulifellt	0	2.5	5	10	25	50	100
Motile (%)	I	62.90 ± 3.80	51.00 ± 3.78	39.70 ± 3.44	47.30 ± 4.52	$37.70 \pm 3.51^{*}$	$27.10 \pm 3.91^{*}$	$8.20 \pm 1.97^{*}$
	+ SOD	56.10 ± 3.99	49.90 ± 3.97	46.60 ± 3.62	42.30 ± 3.01	38.60 ± 3.13	$20.80 \pm 4.22^{*,\rm S}$	$3.70 \pm 0.96^{*, \$}$
Progressive motility (%)	I	37.80 ± 3.68	23.40 ± 2.87	24.60 ± 1.82	25.50 ± 2.83	$18.80 \pm 2.43^{*}$	$11.20 \pm 1.99^{*}$	$5.36\pm1.03^*$
	+ SOD	30.30 ± 2.73	23.90 ± 1.82	22.70 ± 2.70	$21.40 \pm 2.16^{*}$	$18.20 \pm 2.02^{*}$	$7.00 \pm 1.80^{*\$}$	$1.00 \pm 0.42^{*,\rm S}$
Rapid cells (%)	I	55.00 ± 4.23	41.30 ± 3.58	32.20 ± 4.02	39.60 ± 4.51	$29.60\pm3.18^*$	$21.40 \pm 3.49^{*}$	$5.20\pm1.48^*$
	+ SOD	47.10 ± 3.59	$31.70 \pm 1.64^{\$}$	32.50 ± 1.77	$33.10 \pm 1.56^{\$}$	$30.70 \pm 2.96^{*}$	$14.50 \pm 3.61^{*, \$}$	$2.60\pm0.77^*$
Static cells (%)	I	24.60 ± 3.29	33.90 ± 4.55	$42.90 \pm 3.01^{*}$	$38.50 \pm 4.50^{*}$	$48.20\pm4.32^*$	$61.20 \pm 5.08^{*}$	$82.70 \pm 3.18^{*}$
	+ SOD	31.20 ± 4.66	$44.90 \pm 3.55^{*,\$}$	$46.90\pm4.87^*$	$41.10 \pm 3.75^{*}$	$44.90 \pm 3.37^{*}$	$60.10 \pm 5.80^{*}$	$90.56 \pm 1.82^{*, \$}$
Table 3. The effects of supe.	roxide on sper	n motility parame	eters in the absence	or presence of dif DMNO (umol L ⁻	ferent concentratic	ns of RPO ($n = 12$).		
Parameters	Treatment		3 0		10	36	50	100
		0	C.2	c	10	C7	00	100
Motile (%)	I	76.42 ± 1.90	61.83 ± 5.02	60.33 ± 3.72	63.00 ± 2.33	$55.33 \pm 4.57^{*}$	$49.75\pm4.44^*$	$30.42 \pm 7.27^{*}$
	0.1% RPO	$60.89 \pm 5.32^{\$}$	$48.56 \pm 6.66^{*}$	$43.29 \pm 6.48^{*}$	$48.22 \pm 5.91^{*}$	$44.56 \pm 5.97^{*}$	$25.67 \pm 6.11^{*, \$}$	$9.33 \pm 3.62^{*,\$}$
	0.5% RPO	$60.62 \pm 3.70^{\$}$	$49.46 \pm 4.28^{*}$	$49.80 \pm 2.81^{*}$	$56.31 \pm 4.18^{*}$	$48.23 \pm 3.48^{*}$	$33.23 \pm 7.71^{*, \$}$	$13.46 \pm 2.53^{*, \$}$
Progressive motility (%)	I	47.42 ± 3.60	$32.67\pm4.08^*$	$29.25 \pm 3.65^{*}$	$37.17 \pm 2.86^{*}$	$29.83 \pm 4.22^{*}$	$21.17 \pm 3.01^{*}$	$11.25 \pm 5.36^{*}$
	0.1% RPO	43.00 ± 6.01	$29.00 \pm 7.65^{*}$	$26.50 \pm 6.23^{*}$	$27.33 \pm 5.42^{*}$	$27.67 \pm 5.81^{*}$	$12.33 \pm 4.40^{*, \$}$	$2.50 \pm 1.14^{*, S}$
	0.5% RPO	40.46 ± 3.79	$29.27 \pm 3.87^{*}$	$25.88 \pm 2.81^{*}$	$32.38 \pm 3.22^{*}$	$30.50 \pm 3.71^{*}$	$14.15 \pm 3.90^{*, \$}$	$2.53 \pm 0.63^{*, \$}$
Rapid cells (%)	I	62.83 ± 3.24	$47.50 \pm 5.36^{*}$	$46.17 \pm 4.66^{*}$	$51.25 \pm 2.95^{*}$	$43.00 \pm 5.00^{*}$	$34.17 \pm 3.90^{*}$	$17.92\pm6.37^*$

P < 0.001, compared with control (0 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ and no RPO); ⁵P < 0.001, compared with RPO untreated parameter within the same DMNQ. Values are represented as means \pm SEM. Differences were regarded statistically significant if P < 0.05 and highly significant if P < 0.001. Abbreviations: DMNQ, 2,3-dimetoxyl-1,4-naphthoquinone; RPO, red palm oil.

npg

698

 $6.84\pm1.63^{*,\,\$}$

 $5.22 \pm 2.58^{*, S}$

 $18.22 \pm 4.70^{*, \$}$ $24.77 \pm 6.68^{*,\$}$

 $35.11 \pm 6.00^{*}$ $35.15 \pm 3.85^*$ $23.42\pm4.86^*$ $31.50\pm 5.10^{*}$

 $38.56 \pm 6.04^{*}$ $46.00 \pm 4.03^{*}$ 19.08 ± 2.74

 $34.78 \pm 3.62^*$ $33.33 \pm 3.35^*$

 $40.11 \pm 6.56^*$

 $37.46 \pm 3.88^{*}$ 22.00 ± 5.08

 $52.08 \pm 3.93^{\$}$ 51.89 ± 5.40

0.1% RPO 0.5% RPO 10.67 ± 1.70 20.89 ± 3.95 23.92 ± 3.24

Static cell (%)

0.5% RPO

0.1% RPO

 $79.11 \pm 7.31^{*, \$}$ $63.69 \pm 6.64^{*,\$}$

 $53.13 \pm 8.73^{*, \$}$ $49.77 \pm 7.79^{*, \$}$

 $28.08 \pm 3.38^{*}$

 $33.22 \pm 6.30^{*, \$}$ $26.23 \pm 3.56^{*, \$}$

 $35.89 \pm 7.28^{*}$ $34.15 \pm 4.68^*$

 $36.22 \pm 6.24^*$ $29.08 \pm 3.96^{*}$

 20.33 ± 1.73

 $25.42 \pm 4.69^{*}$

 $44.50 \pm 6.96^{*}$

699

percentages of motile cells, progressive motility (from 50 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ) and rapid cells (at 2.5, 10 and 50 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ) compared with control (0 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ). Samples treated with DMNQ in combination with SOD have increased static cells (2.5 and 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ) compared with control (0 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ) values.

3.4 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of RPO

The addition of 0.1% and 0.5% RPO (Table 3) significantly decreased the percentages of motile cells, progressive motility and rapid cells compared with the control from 50 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ. Moreover, 0.1% and 0.5% RPO considerably increased the number of static cells at 10, 50 and 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ.

4 Discussion

4.1 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters

In this study, it was found that the addition of exogenous superoxide in the form of DMNQ at 100 μ mol L⁻¹ radically reduced the percentage of motile cells, progressive motility, and rapid cells, as well as led to an increase in the number of static cells. It is therefore clear that O_2 , in the form of exogenously added DMNQ, is deleterious to sperm motility and sperm function at higher concentrations. These results are in agreement with De Iuliis et al. [26], who showed that human spermatozoa are capable of generating O_2 .⁻ and that the production of this oxygen radical is inversely correlated with defective sperm function. Gil-Guzman et al. [27] showed that levels of ROS production were negatively correlated with teratozoospermia and spermatozoa developmental stages. ROS production was found to be highest in the immature fraction of ejaculated sperm, which also contained sperm with abnormal head morphology and cytoplasmic retention. It has been suggested that ROS induces membrane LPO in sperm and that the toxicity of the generated fatty acid peroxides are important causes of decreased sperm function, for example, motility [28, 29]. Previous studies [8, 28, 30, 31] had shown a correlation between high levels of ROS (superoxide, hydroxyl, hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide and peroxynitrile) and decreased sperm motility. De Lamirande and Gagnon [32] also showed that ROS causes sperm immotility within 5-30 min, depending on the concentration.

4.2 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of Mn(III)TMPyP

Manganese (Mn) is an essential ultra trace element similar to chromium, molybdenum and cobalt. It is needed for a wide variety of physiological processes ranging from the regulation of reproduction to normal brain function [33]. Mn can exist in various oxidation states ranging from -3 to +7, with +2 oxidation state being the most predominant in biological systems [33]. Although redox active metals such as Fe(II) can accelerate LPO, ionic Mn (10-100 µmol L⁻¹) has been shown to inhibit LPO in rat liver microsomes [34]. In addition, several known Mn complexes including the Mn salen and Mn bis(cyclohexylpyridine)substituted macro cyclic ligand have shown promise as a possible SOD mimic [35-37]. Studies have shown that these complexes are as effective as SOD enzymes in detoxifying superoxide under some experimental conditions [38]. Metalloporphyrins such as Mn(III)TMPvP are a unique class of stable catalytic antioxidants possessing a broad range of antioxidant capacities that include the dismutation of superoxide [39–41], hydrogen peroxide [42] and scavenging of peroxynitrite [43, 44]. Metalloporphyrins exhibit other antioxidant capacities in addition to superoxide dismuting activity such as catalase-like activity [42]. inhibition of LPO [45]. In vitro models of OS have been useful both in terms of confirming the antioxidant activities of metalloporhyrins obtained in cell-free systems and predicting their use as antioxidants in more complex in vivo models of human disease. Metalloporphyrins have been shown to be protective in a wide variety of in vitro OS models involving the generation of O_2 , H_2O_2 and ONOO alone or in concert.

The addition of Mn(III)TMPyP (50 μ mol L⁻¹) attenuated the effects of superoxide on the number of static cells (5 and 10 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ). As a result, it offered some form of protection to sperm motility against the harmful effects of superoxide. However, Mn(III)TMPyP decreased the percentages of motile cells and increased static cells at high concentrations of O₂. This finding is interesting or rather contradictive to the protective properties ascribed to Mn(III)TMPyP. Nevertheless, this finding is in agreement with Lin *et al.* [33] who found that Mn exhibits pro- and antioxidant characteristics in their study done on worms. Despite several reports suggesting the beneficial effects of Mn in unicellular organisms, it is well known that chronic exposure to high atmospheric levels of Mn is toxic [33].





Studies have shown that an overload of Mn causes the disease 'manganism', which has Parkinson's-like symptoms [46, 47].

4.3 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of SOD

The addition of SOD (50 IU mL⁻¹) exacerbated the harmful effect of superoxide by drastically reducing motility, progressive motility (from 50 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ) and rapid cells (at 2.5, 10 and 50 μ mol L⁻¹ DMNQ).

Contradicting reports exists regarding the effect of antioxidant supplementation on sperm motility in both fresh liquefied and frozen-thawed semen from various species, including human [17, 48–51], the effect being dependent of the antioxidant employed and the dose used [52]. Johnson and Guilivic [53] argued that the conversion of superoxide anion to hydrogen peroxide by SOD may have anti- and pro-oxidant consequences. On the one hand, the dismutation of O_2 to H_2O_2 and oxygen facilitates both the distribution of ROS, that is, diluting their effects through diffusion between cellular compartments, and the removal of H₂O₂ by H₂O₂consuming enzymes (antioxidant). On the other hand, if the actions of SOD and H₂O₂-consuming enzymes are not in concert, an increased production of H₂O₂ is expected from SOD activity.

Sikka [6] has shown that a relationship exists between an increase in ROS-induced OS, LPO, decreased levels of SOD and motility in spermatozoa. de Lamirande and Gagnon [32] argued that motility is impaired because of adenosine triphosphate depletion during LPO of the sperm plasma membrane. Peroxidation increased in proportion to the decrease in SOD effects [54]. Therefore, the time of complete motility loss is determined by the rate of peroxidation [54] and so would correlate with SOD activity [55]. The dismutation of O_2 .⁻ by SOD converts it into a powerful oxidant, H_2O_2 , which can readily penetrate sperm cells, lower their motility and cause irreparable damage to both DNA and membranes [54]. All these arguments might explain our findings.

4.4 The effects of superoxide on sperm motility parameters in the absence or presence of RPO

Crude palm oil is known to be the richest natural plant source of carotenoids in terms of provitamin A equivalents, such as α -carotene and β -carotene [20, 56]. The dietary intake of foods rich in carotenoids was associated with a reduced risk of some types of cancer [57,

58] and cardiovascular diseases [59], presumably affording antioxidant properties to reduce OS when tested in both endothelial and non-endothelial cells. Palm oil containing these antioxidants was shown to be effective against OS *in vitro* and *in vivo* [60]. Vitamin E and related compounds are also abundant in RPO. Chow and Hong [61] reported that dietary vitamin E is capable of reducing the production and/or availability of not only O_2 .⁻, but also NO and ONOO⁻. However, it is not clear whether the action of vitamin E to reduce the generation of O_2 .⁻ and other ROS is independent of its antioxidant function.

However, the combination of RPO and DMNQ significantly decreased motile cells (50–100 μ mol L⁻¹) and also increased the percentage of static cells (DMNQ 2.5–100 μ mol L⁻¹). As RPO is a lipid and hydrophobic, it can possibly explain why no marked positive effects were seen with co-treatment, as it might not have been possible to deliver its antioxidant properties to the affected spermatozoa. Owing to its lipid nature, it could furthermore negatively affect the spermatozoa directly in solution.

In conclusion, this study has shown that Mn(III) TMPyP offered better protection against the harmful effects of O_2 .⁻ than SOD or RPO when using sperm motility as an *in vitro* end point. We recommend that future studies should include the oral administration of different concentrations of RPO to animals for a period long enough to target complete spermatogenesis and epididymal maturation. This could give a much better appraisal of the possible phytotherapeutic and protective effects RPO can have on ejaculated sperm.

References

- 1 Auger J, Serres C, Wolf JP, Jouannet P. [Sperm motility and fertilization]. Contracet Fertil Sex 1994; 22: 314–8.
- 2 Irvine DS, Twigg JP, Gordon EL, Fulton N, Milne PA, *et al.* DNA integrity in human spermatozoa: relationships with semen quality. J Androl 2000; 21: 33–44.
- 3 Henkel R, Maass G, Schuppe HC, Jung A, Schubert J, et al. Molecular aspects of declining sperm motility in older men. Fertil Steril 2005; 84: 1430–7.
- 4 Aitken RJ, Buckingham DW, West K, Brindle J. On the use of paramagnetic beads and ferrofluids to assess and eliminate the leukocytic contribution to oxygen radical generation by human sperm suspensions. Am J Reprod Immunol 1996; 35: 541–51.
- 5 Griveau JF, Le Lannou D. Reactive oxygen species and human spermatozoa: physiology and pathology. Int J Androl 1997; 20: 61–9.
- 6 Sikka SC. Role of oxidative stress and antioxidants in



andrology and assisted reproductive technology. J Androl 2004; 25: 5–18.

- 7 Ford WC. Regulation of sperm function by reactive oxygen species. Hum Reprod Update 2004; 10: 387–99.
- 8 Agarwal A, Saleh RA, Bedaiwy MA. Role of reactive oxygen species in the pathophysiology of human reproduction. Fertil Steril 2003; 79: 829–43.
- 9 Vernet P, Fulton N, Wallace C, Aitken RJ. Analysis of reactive oxygen species generating systems in rat epididymal spermatozoa. Biol Reprod 2001; 65: 1102–13.
- 10 St-Pierre J, Buckingham JA, Roebuck SJ, Brand MD. Topology of superoxide production from different sites in the mitochondrial electron transport chain. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 44784–90.
- 11 Aitken RJ. The Amoroso Lecture. The human spermatozoon—a cell in crisis? J Reprod Fertil 1999; 115: 1–7.
- 12 Aitken RJ, Krausz C. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and the Y chromosome. Reproduction 2001; 122: 497–506.
- 13 Garg R, Kumbkarni Y, Aljada A, Mohanty P, Ghanim H, et al. Troglitazone reduces reactive oxygen species generation by leukocytes and lipid peroxidation and improves flow-mediated vasodilatation in obese subjects. Hypertension 2000; 36: 430–5.
- 14 Liu JF, Lee YW. Vitamin C supplementation restores the impaired vitamin E status of guinea pigs fed oxidized frying oil. J Nutr 1998; 128: 116–22.
- 15 Hamilton IM, Gilmore WS, Benzie IF, Mulholland CW, Strain JJ. Interactions between vitamins C and E in human subjects. Br J Nutr 2000; 84: 261–7.
- 16 du Plessis SS, Franken DR, Baldi E, Luconi M. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibition enhances human sperm motility and sperm-zona pellucida binding. Int J Androl 2004; 27: 19–26.
- 17 Askari HA, Check JH, Peymer N, Bollendorf A. Effect of natural antioxidants tocopherol and ascorbic acids in maintenance of sperm activity during freeze-thaw process. Arch Androl 1994; 33: 11–5.
- 18 Lampiao F, Krom D, du Plessis SS. The *in vitro* effects of *Mondia whitei* on human sperm motility parameters. Phytother Res 2008; 22: 1272–3.
- 19 Cross CE, Halliwell B, Borish ET, Pryor WA, Ames BN, *et al.* Oxygen radicals and human disease. Ann Intern Med 1987; 107: 526–45.
- 20 Sundram K, Sambanthamurthi R, Tan YA. Palm fruit chemistry and nutrition. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2003; 12: 355–62.
- 21 Krinsky NI. Actions of carotenoids in biological systems. Annu Rev Nutr 1993; 13: 561–87.
- 22 Rosselli M, Dubey RK, Imthurn B, Macas E, Keller PJ. Effects of nitric oxide on human spermatozoa: evidence that nitric oxide decreases sperm motility and induces sperm toxicity. Hum Reprod 1995; 10: 1786–90.
- 23 Guo Q, Sebastian L, Sopher BL, Miller MW, Ware CB, et al. Increased vulnerability of hippocampal neurons from presenilin-1 mutant knock-in mice to amyloid beta-peptide toxicity: central roles of superoxide production and caspase activation. J Neurochem 1999; 72: 1019–29.
- 24 World Health Organization. WHO laboratory manual for

the examination of human semen and sperm-cervical mucus interaction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

- 25 Aboua Y, Du Plessis SS, Brooks N. *In vitro* red palm oil (RPO) administration to human spermatozoa: Finding a suitable solvent. Med Technol SA 2007; 21: 7–9.
- 26 De Iuliis GN, Wingate JK, Koppers AJ, McLaughlin EA, Aitken RJ. Definitive evidence for the nonmitochondrial production of superoxide anion by human spermatozoa. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006; 91: 1968–75.
- 27 Gil-Guzman E, Ollero M, Lopez MC, Sharma RK, Alvarez JG, *et al.* Differential production of reactive oxygen species by subsets of human spermatozoa at different stages of maturation. Hum Reprod 2001; 16: 1922–30.
- 28 Armstrong JS, Rajasekaran M, Chamulitrat W, Gatti P, Hellstrom WJ, *et al.* Characterization of reactive oxygen species induced effects on human spermatozoa movement and energy metabolism. Free Radic Biol Med 1999; 26: 869–80.
- 29 Agarwal A, Prabakaran S, Allamaneni S. What an andrologist/urologist should know about free radicals and why. Urology 2006; 67: 2–8.
- 30 Lenzi A, Lombardo F, Gandini L, Alfano P, Dondero F. Computer assisted sperm motility analysis at the moment of induced pregnancy during gonadotropin treatment for hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. J Endocrinol Invest 1993; 16: 683–6.
- 31 Bilodeau JF, Blanchette S, Cormier N, Sirard MA. Reactive oxygen species-mediated loss of bovine sperm motility in egg yolk Tris extender: protection by pyruvate, metal chelators and bovine liver or oviductal fluid catalase. Theriogenology 2002; 57: 1105–22.
- 32 de Lamirande E, Gagnon C. Reactive oxygen species and human spermatozoa. II. Depletion of adenosine triphosphate plays an important role in the inhibition of sperm motility. J Androl 1992; 13: 379–86.
- 33 Lin YT, Hoang H, Hsieh SI, Rangel N, Foster AL, et al. Manganous ion supplementation accelerates wild type development, enhances stress resistance, and rescues the life span of a short-lived Caenorhabditis elegans mutant. Free Radic Biol Med 2006; 40: 1185–93.
- 34 Coassin M, Ursini F, Bindoli A. Antioxidant effect of manganese. Arch Biochem Biophys 1992; 299: 330–3.
- 35 Beyer WF, Jr., Fridovich I. Superoxide dismutase mimic prepared from desferrioxamine and manganese dioxide. Met Enzymol 1990; 186: 242–9.
- 36 Doctrow SR, Huffman K, Marcus CB, Musleh W, Bruce A, et al. Salen-manganese complexes: combined superoxide dismutase/catalase mimics with broad pharmacological efficacy. Adv Pharmacol 1997; 38: 247–69.
- 37 Salvemini D, Wang ZQ, Zweier JL, Samouilov A, Macarthur H, *et al.* A nonpeptidyl mimic of superoxide dismutase with therapeutic activity in rats. Science 1999; 286: 304–6.
- 38 Melov S, Doctrow SR, Schneider JA, Haberson J, Patel M, et al. Lifespan extension and rescue of spongiform encephalopathy in superoxide dismutase 2 nullizygous mice treated with superoxide dismutase-catalase mimetics. J Neurosci 2001; 21: 8348–53.
- 39 Pasternack RF, Banth A, Pasternack JM, Johnson CS.

701



Catalysis of the disproportionation of superoxide by metalloporphyrins, III. J Inorg Biochem 1981; 15: 261–7.

- 40 Day BJ, Shawen S, Liochev SI, Crapo JD. A metalloporphyrin superoxide dismutase mimetic protects against paraquatinduced endothelial cell injury, *in vitro*. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1995; 275: 1227–32.
- 41 Faulkner KM, Liochev SI, Fridovich I. Stable Mn(III) porphyrins mimic superoxide dismutase *in vitro* and substitute for it *in vivo*. J Biol Chem 1994; 269: 23471–6.
- 42 Day BJ, Fridovich I, Crapo JD. Manganic porphyrins possess catalase activity and protect endothelial cells against hydrogen peroxide-mediated injury. Arch Biochem Biophys 1997; 347:256–62.
- 43 Szabo C, Day BJ, Salzman AL. Evaluation of the relative contribution of nitric oxide and peroxynitrite to the suppression of mitochondrial respiration in immunostimulated macrophages using a manganese mesoporphyrin superoxide dismutase mimetic and peroxynitrite scavenger. FEBS Lett 1996; 381: 82–6.
- 44 Salvemini D, Wang ZQ, Stern MK, Currie MG, Misko TP. Peroxynitrite decomposition catalysts: therapeutics for peroxynitrite-mediated pathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95: 2659–63.
- 45 Day BJ, Batinic-Haberle I, Crapo JD. Metalloporphyrins are potent inhibitors of lipid peroxidation. Free Rad Biol Med 1999; 26: 730–6.
- 46 Roth JA, Garrick MD. Iron interactions and other biological reactions mediating the physiological and toxic actions of manganese. Biochem Pharmacol 2003; 66: 1–13.
- 47 Wang RG, Zhu XZ. Subtoxic concentration of manganese synergistically potentiates 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridiniuminduced neurotoxicity in PC12 cells. Brain Res 2003; 961: 131–8.
- 48 Maxwell WM, Stojanov T. Liquid storage of ram semen in the absence or presence of some antioxidants. Reprod Fertil Dev 1996; 8: 1013–20.
- 49 Aurich JE, Schonherr U, Hoppe H, Aurich C. Effects of antioxidants on motility and membrane integrity of chilledstored stallion semen. Theriogenology 1997; 48: 185–92.
- 50 Upreti GC, Jensen K, Oliver JE, Duganzich DM, Munday R, *et al.* Motility of ram spermatozoa during storage in a chemically-defined diluent containing antioxidants. Anim

Reprod Sci 1997; 48: 269-78.

- 51 Donnelly ET, McClure N, Lewis SE. Antioxidant supplementation in vitro does not improve human sperm motility. Fertil Steril 1999; 72: 484–95.
- 52 Pena FJ, Johannisson A, Wallgren M, Rodriguez Martinez H. Antioxidant supplementation *in vitro* improves boar sperm motility and mitochondrial membrane potential after cryopreservation of different fractions of the ejaculate. Anim Reprod Sci 2003; 78: 85–98.
- 53 Johnson F, Giulivi C. Superoxide dismutases and their impact upon human health. Mol Aspects Med 2005; 26: 340–52.
- 54 Alvarez JG, Touchstone JC, Blasco L, Storey BT. Spontaneous lipid peroxidation and production of hydrogen peroxide and superoxide in human spermatozoa. Superoxide dismutase as major enzyme protectant against oxygen toxicity. J Androl 1987; 8: 338–48.
- 55 Alvarez JG, Storey BT. Evidence for increased lipid peroxidative damage and loss of superoxide dismutase activity as a mode of sublethal cryodamage to human sperm during cryopreservation. J Androl 1992; 13: 232–41.
- 56 Yoshida Y, Niki E, Noguchi N. Comparative study on the action of tocopherols and tocotrienols as antioxidant: chemical and physical effects. Chem Phys Lipids 2003; 123: 63–75.
- 57 Portakal O, Ozkaya O, Erden Inal M, Bozan B, Kosan M, *et al.* Coenzyme Q10 concentrations and antioxidant status in tissues of breast cancer patients. Clin Biochem 2000; 33: 279–84.
- 58 Cameron IL, Munoz J, Barnes CJ, Hardman WE. High dietary level of synthetic vitamin E on lipid peroxidation, membrane fatty acid composition and cytotoxicity in breast cancer xenograft and in mouse host tissue. Cancer Cell Int 2003; 3: 3.
- 59 Ferdinandy P, Schulz R. Nitric oxide, superoxide, and peroxynitrite in myocardial ischaemia-reperfusion injury and preconditioning. Br J Pharmacol 2003; 138: 532–43.
- 60 Serbinova E, Choo M, Packer L. Distribution and antioxidant activity of a palm oil carotene fraction in rats. Biochem Int 1992; 28: 881–6.
- 61 Chow CK, Hong CB. Dietary vitamin E and selenium and toxicity of nitrite and nitrate. Toxicology 2002; 180: 195–207.



702