The risks, degree of malignancy and clinical progression of prostate cancer associated with the MDM2 T309G polymorphism: a meta-analysis
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To determine the risk, malignant degree and clinical progression of prostate cancer (PCa) associated with mouse double-minute 2 protein (MDM2) T309G variants, a meta-analysis was performed on all eligible published studies. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated to assess these associations in seven studies that included 5151 cases and 1003 controls. In the overall analysis, the 309G allele was significantly associated with a decreased PCa risk (OR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.74–0.97); this was also the case for the homozygous comparison (OR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.55–0.95) and the dominant genetic model (OR=0.79, 95% CI: 0.65–0.96). The 309G allele was also found to be significantly associated with lower degrees of PCa malignancy (OR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.75–0.96) in the overall analysis, as well as in the heterozygous comparison (OR=0.79, 95% CI: 0.65–0.96), homozygous comparison (OR=0.76, 95% CI: 0.58–0.98) and dominant genetic model (OR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.68–0.96). Furthermore, grouping analysis showed that the 309G allele in Caucasians was significantly correlated with a decreased PCa risk (OR=0.77, 95% CI: 0.61–0.96); this was also the case in the homozygous comparison (OR=0.51, 95% CI: 0.31–0.86). The grouping analysis also showed that the 309G variant in Caucasians was significantly associated with a lower degree of PCa malignancy in all of the genetic models. In addition, we found that the 309G variant in Caucasians was significantly associated with a slower PCa clinical progression in all of the genetic models. In summary, our meta-analysis showed that the MDM2 309G variant was significantly associated with a decreased PCa risk, lower malignant degree and slower clinical progression in Caucasians, but there was no obvious association in the Asian population. Asian Journal of Andrology (2012) 14, 726–731; doi:10.1038/aja.2012.65; published online 20 August 2012
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INTRODUCTION
Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the most common solid tumours and is a common cause of death in men worldwide. However, the incidence of PCa has notable ethnic and geographic variations.1–2 There were an estimated 192 280 newly diagnosed cases of PCa and 27 360 deaths from PCa in the United States in 2008; in particular, the incidence in the Africa-American population was approximately 60 times that of the Han population in China.1–3 The aetiology of human PCa is complex and remains largely unknown.3,4

The human mouse double-minute 2 protein (MDM2) is an E3-ubiquitin ligase that binds, inhibits and promotes the degradation of the tumour suppressor protein p53.5 As a key negative regulator of p53, the over-expression of MDM2 is associated with accelerated tumour progression and the lack of response to therapy in various human malignancies.6–9 Recently, two polymorphic sites (T309G and C1797G) in the MDM2 promoter region have been shown to affect the affinity of MDM2 for binding to the stimulatory protein 1 and CAAT/enhancer binding protein α genes, respectively, which results in higher MDM2 expression levels.10,11

Although two polymorphic sites of the MDM2 gene (rs2279744 and rs937282) have been studied, only the rs2279744 (T309G) site has been reported frequently as a risk factor for PCa, with inconsistent results.12,14,16–18 Moreover, some researchers have investigated the relationships between the T309G polymorphism and various clinical or pathological features of PCa,12–15,16–18 among which the malignant degree and clinical progression have been studied with the same stratified standards as each other. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of all eligible case-control studies and nested case-control studies to determine a more precise estimation of the PCa risk and clinical features (malignant degree and clinical progression) associated with the MDM2 T309G polymorphism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Publication search
We performed PubMed searches with the terms ‘MDM2’ or ‘mouse double-minute 2’, ‘polymorphism’ and ‘prostate cancer’ for articles published in English, and the last search update was performed on 29 March 2011. All eligible studies were reviewed, and their bibliographies
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were also examined for other relevant publications. Relevant review articles were manually searched to find additional eligible studies. If more than one article had been published using the same series of study subjects, we only chose the most recent or most complete study for this meta-analysis.

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The included articles met the following criteria: based on a case-control study or a nested case-control study; contained information on PCa risk or clinical features (malignant degree and clinical progression) associated with the MDM2 T309G polymorphism; and provided sufficient genotype frequencies for a meta-analysis. The major reasons for the exclusion of studies were as follows: no control population, insufficient available data and duplicate data.

### Data extraction

All data were carefully extracted from the eligible publications independently by two co-authors (WG and PL), and any disagreements were resolved by discussion between the two authors. The collected data from each eligible article included the first author’s last name, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, definitions of clinical features, characteristics of controls, numbers of genotyped cases and controls, numbers of genotyped cases grouped according to clinical features, source of cases (familial or sporadic), genotyping methods and quality control. Different ethnicity descents were categorized as Caucasian, Asian or African (Table 1).

### Statistical analysis

The strength of association between the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and the risks or clinical features of PCa was measured by odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The statistical significance of the summary OR was determined using the Z-test. For exploring the associations between the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and PCa risk, we analysed the allelotype comparisons (309G vs. T), heterozygous comparisons (GT vs. TT), homozygous comparisons (GG vs. TT) and dominant genetic model comparisons (GG+GT vs. TT) between the cases and controls. To analyse the association of the T309G polymorphism and clinical features of PCa, we evaluated the same effects by stratified analyses according to the malignant degree and clinical progression of cases.

The heterogeneity assumption was verified by a $\chi^2$-based Q-test. If the $P$ value of the Q-test was more than 0.05, which indicated a lack of heterogeneity among the studies, then the summary OR estimation for each study was calculated using the fixed-effects model (the Mantel–Haenszel method). Otherwise, the random-effects model (the DerSimonian–Laird method) was used.15 We performed meta-regression analyses to explore the reasons of heterogeneity among these studies. Subgroup analyses were used to avoid the influence of heterogeneity among these studies, which were grouped by similar characteristics, such as ethnicity and source of cases. Inter-study variance ($\hat{\tau}^2$) was used to quantify the degree of heterogeneity, and the percentage of $\hat{\tau}^2$ was used to describe the extent of heterogeneity explained by these characteristics. Potential publication bias was determined using Egger’s linear regression test with a funnel plot. All statistical analyses were performed using the Stata software (version 11.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) and two-sided $P$ values. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

#### Summary of included studies

A total of seven studies that included 5151 cases and 1003 controls met the inclusion criteria.12–18 Of these studies, five studies (872 cases and 1003 controls) were used to analyse the association of the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and PCa risk.12,14,16–18 Five studies including 1485 cases of high-grade malignancy (Gleason score $\geq 7$) and 927 cases of low-grade malignancy (Gleason score $<7$) were used to determine the association between the T309G polymorphism and the malignant degree.12–15,17 Three studies including 697 advanced cases and 1403 localized cases were analysed to study the association between the T309G polymorphism and the clinical progression of cases.12,13,15

The characteristics of each study are summarized in Table 1. Five studies12,14,16–18 were included in the PCa risk analysis, and frequency-matched controls were used for age, sex and ethnicity. All seven of the studies focused on sporadic PCa (SPC) cases. All studies mentioned quality control methods for genotyping, such as randomly repeated assays or validation by directed sequencing. All studies stated that the distribution of genotypes in the control groups12,14,16–18 or case subjects12,15 was consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1).

Jaboin et al.15 studied 206 SPC cases, including 98.06% Caucasians and only 1.94% African-Americans; we classified this study by ethnicity as a study of Caucasians. After grouping by ethnicity, there were two studies17,18 of Caucasian descendants and three studies12,14,16 of Asian descendants for the PCa risk analysis associated with the MDM2 T309G polymorphism, three studies13,15,17 of Caucasian descendants and two studies12,14 of Asian descendants for the analysis of the malignant degree, and two studies13,15 of Caucasian descendants and one study12 of Asian descendants for the analysis of the clinical progression (Tables 2 and 3).

### Table 1 The main characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Publishing country</th>
<th>Subject ethnicity</th>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Case source</th>
<th>Control number</th>
<th>HWE test</th>
<th>Genotyping method</th>
<th>Risk analysis</th>
<th>Malignant degree analysis</th>
<th>Clinical progression analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xu12</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun13</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>4073</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandal14</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaboin15</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Caucasian*</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pyrosequencing</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirata16</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoehr17</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kibel18</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pyrosequencing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; NM, not mentioned; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; SPC, sporadic prostate cancer.

* 98% for Caucasians and 2% for African-Americans.
MDM2 T309G polymorphism and PCa risk

No study was found that focused on the associations of the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and PCa risk in Africans; however, we observed a significant difference in the MDM2 T309G allele frequency between Asian controls and Caucasian controls (48.9% vs. 38.4%, P<0.01).

In the overall analysis, we found that the 309G allele was significantly associated with a decreased risk for PCa compared with the 309T allele (OR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.74–0.97, P=0.170 for heterogeneity); this was also the case for the homoygous comparison (OR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.55–0.95, P=0.130 for heterogeneity) and the dominant genetic model (OR=0.79, 95% CI: 0.65–0.96, P=0.119 for heterogeneity) (Table 2 and Figure 1a). There was no significant heterogeneity found in the overall analysis (Table 2); therefore, we did not assess the source of heterogeneity in any of the genetic models. In addition, the grouping analysis based on ethnicity showed that the 309G allele in Caucasians was significantly correlated to a decreased PCa risk (OR=0.77, 95% CI: 0.61–0.96, P=0.918 for heterogeneity), and the same result was observed in the homoygous comparison (OR=0.51, 95% CI: 0.31–0.86, P=0.458 for heterogeneity) (Table 2 and Figure 1a). In Asians, there was no significant correlation between the T309G polymorphism and PCa risk; however, we found significant heterogeneity in the heterozygous comparison (P=0.041 for heterogeneity) and dominant genetic model comparison (P=0.031 for heterogeneity) (Table 2).

MDM2 T309G polymorphism and the malignant degree of PCa cases

To analyse the association between the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and the malignant degree of PCa, we defined low-grade malignancy as a Gleason score from 2 to 6 (<7) and high-grade malignancy as a Gleason score from 7 to 10 (≥7) for the meta-analysis. In the overall analysis, the 309G allele was found to be significantly associated with a lower malignant degree compared with the 309T allele (OR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.75–0.96, P=0.144 for heterogeneity); this was also the case for the heterozygous comparison (P=0.85, 95% CI: 0.65–0.96, P=0.381 for heterogeneity), homoygous comparison (OR=0.76, 95% CI: 0.58–0.98, P=0.232 for heterogeneity) and dominant genetic model (OR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.68–0.96, P=0.146 for heterogeneity) (Table 3 and Figure 2a). Moreover, there was no significant heterogeneity found in the overall analysis (Table 3), and therefore, we did not assess the source of heterogeneity in the genetic models.

Furthermore, the grouping analysis based on ethnicity showed that the 309G allele in Caucasians was significantly correlated with a lower malignant degree (OR=0.82, 95% CI: 0.72–0.94, P=0.251 for heterogeneity), and the same result was observed in the heterozygous comparison (OR=0.78, 95% CI: 0.64–0.95, P=0.239 for heterogeneity), homoygous comparison (OR=0.71, 95% CI: 0.54–0.93, P=0.392 for heterogeneity) and dominant genetic model (OR=0.76, 95% CI: 0.63–0.92, P=0.194 for heterogeneity) (Table 3 and Figure 2a). In Asians, there was no significant correlation between the T309G polymorphism and PCa risk. The OR and 95% CI were only reported by Mandal and Mittal, except for the dominant genetic model comparison, because the allele frequencies and partial genotype frequencies were not available in the study by Xu et al.12 (Table 3).

MDM2 T309G polymorphism and the clinical progression of PCa cases

For the association between the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and clinical progression of PCa, we defined localized PCa as clinical stages of T1-2N0M0 and advanced PCa as clinical stages of T3-4N0Mx, T2NxMx or T3NxM1. Because the allele frequencies and partial genotype frequencies were not available in the study by Xu et al.,12 we only used the OR and 95% CI of the dominant genetic model comparison in the overall analysis, which was not ideal; however, it had significant heterogeneity (P=0.026 for heterogeneity) (Table 3 and Figure 3a). Therefore, we assessed the source of heterogeneity in the dominant genetic model by publication year (2009 or 2010), ethnicity (Caucasian or Asian) and sample size (less than or more than subjects 200 in both localized cases and advanced cases). As a result, publication year (P=0.924), ethnicity (P=0.353) and sample size (P=0.236) did not account for the substantial heterogeneity.

Furthermore, we performed subgroup analyses by ethnicity, which showed positive results in all of the genetic models. We found that the 309G allele was significantly associated with a lower clinical progression of PCa in Caucasians compared with the 309T allele (OR=0.83, 95% CI: 0.72–0.96, P=0.127 for heterogeneity); this was also the case for the heterozygote comparison (OR=0.79, 95% CI: 0.64–0.97, P=0.266 for heterogeneity), homoygous comparison (OR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.53–0.98, P=0.169 for heterogeneity) and dominant genetic model (OR=0.77, 95% CI: 0.63–0.94, P=0.174 for heterogeneity) (Table 3 and Figure 3a).

Sensitivity analyses

In the dominant genetic model comparison for the association between MDM2 T309G variants and PCa risk, sensitivity analyses indicated that the positive summary OR and 95% CI became negative when any of the three independent studies4,14,16 were omitted (Figure 1b). Similarly, sensitivity analyses also showed that one independent study by Sun et al.13 effectively influenced the positive summary OR and 95% CI for the PCa malignant degree associated with the T309G polymorphism in the dominant genetic model (Figure 2b). In addition, sensitivity analyses indicated that the pooled negative OR and 95% CI for PCa clinical progression were not influenced significantly, which indicated that the meta-analysis results were stable in the dominant genetic model (Figure 3b).

Table 2 Stratified analyses of the MDM2 T309G polymorphism on PCa risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicities</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cases/controls</th>
<th>G allele vs. T allele</th>
<th>GT vs. TT</th>
<th>GG vs. TT</th>
<th>GT+GG vs. TT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P*</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P*</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.85 (0.74–0.97)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.82 (0.67–1.02)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.72 (0.55–0.95)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>331/344</td>
<td>0.77 (0.61–0.96)</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.84 (0.61–1.16)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.51 (0.31–0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>541/659</td>
<td>0.90 (0.76–1.05)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.80 (0.49–1.30)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.83 (0.60–1.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; MDM2, mouse double-minute 2 protein; N, number of studies; OR, odds ratio; PCa, prostate cancer.

* P value of Q-test for heterogeneity test.

A random-effect model was used when the P value for the heterogeneity test was <0.05.
Publication bias
We adopted Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test to assess the publication bias. The shape of the funnel plots seemed symmetrical and did not suggest the presence of a publication bias in either the dominant genetic model for PCa risk (Figure 1c) or clinical progression (Figure 3c). Egger’s test was used to provide statistical evidence for funnel plot symmetry. As expected, the results did not show any obvious evidence of a publication bias in either the dominant genetic models for PCa risk ($P = 0.775$) or clinical progression ($P = 0.148$). However, in the dominant genetic models for PCa malignant degree, we found an obvious publication bias using Egger’s test ($P = 0.038$) (Figure 2c). When the study by Sun et al. was excluded, the publication bias for malignant degree was effectively removed ($P = 0.596$).

DISCUSSION
Only a small percentage of cancers, approximately 5%–10%, are entirely hereditary. Like most cancers, prostate carcinogenesis is a complex process involving a multifactorial interplay between genetic and environmental factors, and as a result, the effect of a single polymorphism is unlikely to be substantial. A study of a polymorphism may be of limited value in predicting the risk and clinical features of PCa. Therefore, to explore the association between MDM2 T309G variants and the risk or clinical features, we performed a meta-analysis of seven published studies. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to explore the association of the T309G polymorphism with the risk, malignant degree and clinical progression of PCa.

The MDM2 T309G polymorphism is a plausible carcinogenic hereditary factor owing to the important role of MDM2 in the cellular p53 pathway, and it has been found to be correlated with cancer risks in other organ sites. The MDM2 309G variant raises the affinity of transcription factors, such as Sp1, which results in increased MDM2 synthesis. Moreover, some studies have proven that MDM2 overexpression is related to gene amplification in several malignancies.

In our study, the overall analysis indicated that the 309G variant was significantly associated with a decreased PCa risk without any significant heterogeneity.
significant heterogeneity in the allelotype comparison, homozygous comparison or dominant genetic model (Table 2). After grouping by ethnicity, we found different results for Caucasians and Asians: the 309G allele in allelotype comparison and the GG genotype in the homozygous comparison were significantly correlated with a decreased PCa risk in Caucasians but not in Asians (Table 2). We believe that these inconsistent results could be explained by the following points. First, the discrepancy of potential distribution frequencies of alleles among different ethnicities may be the cause of the inconsistency because we found that the frequency of the 309G allele was significantly lower in Caucasian controls than in Asian controls (P<0.01), which is consistent with the much higher incidence of PCa in Caucasians than in Asians.14,5 Second, the mechanism of PCa progression in all of the genetic models in Caucasians; however, we did not find these associations in Asians (Table 3). Previous studies have implicated the MDM2 309G allele as a high-risk allele in many other carcinomas,26-31 but our data showed that the MDM2 309G allele was significantly associated with a decreased risk for PCa and a lower malignant degree and slower clinical progression of PCa. According to these conflicting results for different tumours, we presume that each variant of the T309G polymorphic site may be associated with an increased risk for different types of cancers. Furthermore, the increased cancer risk could be associated with a specific interaction between a person’s genetic background and environmental factors.26 For some cancers, such as PCa and breast cancer, hormones may be an important environmental factor that influences the effects of genetic variance because many studies have indicated that prostate and breast cancer are dependent on sexual hormones to grow and progress in the early stage.32 Bond et al.33 reported that the MDM2 T309G polymorphism can accelerate tumour formation in a gender-specific and hormone-dependent manner. Recently, the MDM2 309G variant has been shown to be bound more efficiently by the transcriptional factor Sp1 (a co-activator for many hormone receptors) than the 309T allele,10,11 and the oestrogen receptor also binds the promoter of MDM2 from the SNP309 promoter in oestrogen-responsive cells, and the GT + GG genotypes in the dominant genetic model (Table 3). In contrast, we did not find a positive result for PCa clinical progression in dominant genetic model in the overall analysis (Table 3 and Figure 3a). After grouping by ethnicity, the subgroup analyses showed that the 309G variant was significantly associated with both a lower malignant degree and slower clinical progression in all of the genetic models in Caucasians; however, we did not find these associations in Asians (Table 3). Previous studies have implicated the MDM2 309G allele as a high-risk allele in many other carcinomas,26-31 but our data showed that the MDM2 309G allele was significantly associated with a decreased risk for PCa and a lower malignant degree and slower clinical progression of PCa. According to these conflicting results for different tumours, we presume that each variant of the T309G polymorphic site may be associated with an increased risk for different types of cancers. Furthermore, the increased cancer risk could be associated with a specific interaction between a person’s genetic background and environmental factors.26 For some cancers, such as PCa and breast cancer, hormones may be an important environmental factor that influences the effects of genetic variance because many studies have indicated that prostate and breast cancer are dependent on sexual hormones to grow and progress in the early stage.32 Bond et al.33 reported that the MDM2 T309G polymorphism can accelerate tumour formation in a gender-specific and hormone-dependent manner. Recently, the MDM2 309G variant has been shown to be bound more efficiently by the transcriptional factor Sp1 (a co-activator for many hormone receptors) than the 309T allele,10,11 and the oestrogen receptor also binds the promoter of MDM2 in the region of SNP309.33 Hu et al.34 have found that oestrogen preferentially induces the transcription of MDM2 from the SNP309 promoter in oestrogen-responsive cells, and the level of MDM2 in SNP 309GG cells is higher than in heterozygous GT or TT cells. Bond et al.35 hypothesized that women with a G allele would preferentially benefit from lower oestrogen levels, which could slow the progression of some cancers. To date, no evidence on androgen signalling has been presented. Considering the importance of the androgen axis in prostate tumorigenesis and the results of our meta-analyses, we believe that the effect of MDM2 T309G variants may also be dependent on hormonal signalling. Further studies focused on the androgen levels associated with T309G variants are needed.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First of all, there were no studies focused on Africans in this meta-analysis, which hindered the comprehensive investigation of the associations between the MDM2 T309G polymorphism and the risk and
clinical features of PCa. Second, the sample sizes were dissimilar among the studies, and therefore, the statistical power of each study was also very different. Because of the large sample size of 4073 PCa cases in the Caucasian study by Sun et al.,13 the statistical power of the results in Asians was weaker for the malignant degree and clinical progression of PCa. In addition, the sensitivity analyses showed that the study by Sun et al.13 effectively influenced the positive summary OR and 95% CI of PCa malignant degree associated with the T309G polymorphism in the dominant genetic model (Figure 2b). Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes of the Asian population are needed to confirm these conflicting results between ethnicities. Third, only published studies were included in the meta-analysis, which could explain the obvious publication bias for the PCa malignant degree caused by the Sun et al.’s study13 (Figure 2c).

Our meta-analysis also had some advantages. Firstly, the risk, malignant degree and clinical progression of PCa were all studied in the meta-analysis. Secondly, the quality of studies included in the meta-analysis was satisfactory and strictly met the inclusion criteria. Thirdly, all seven studies focused on SPC cases, mentioned quality control methods for genotyping and stated that the distribution of genotypes in control groups12,14,16–18 or case subjects13,15 was consistent with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1), which all increased the statistical power of the meta-analysis.

CONCLUSIONS
This meta-analysis showed that the MDM2 309G variant is significantly associated with a decreased PCa risk, lower malignant degree and clinical progression in Caucasians, but there was no obvious association found in Asians. Considering the limitations of the present meta-analysis, further research with larger sample sizes and different ethnicities is needed to confirm these results. Moreover, other possible confounding factors, such as environmental and lifestyle factors, should also be controlled and considered when assessing the effect of inherited factors on PCa tumorigenesis and progression.
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