www.nature.com/aja

## **RESEARCH HIGHLIGHT**

## Smoking and prostate cancer survival and recurrence

Roberto L Muller<sup>1,2</sup> and Daniel M Moreira<sup>3</sup>

Asian Journal of Andrology (2011) 13, 787–788; doi:10.1038/aja.2011.126; Published online: 19 September 2011

moking is associated with several major benign and malignant diseases, representing one of the most important modifiable risk factors. Among urothelial neoplasms, smoking is pivotal in tumor carcinogenesis, but its role in prostate cancer is still controversial. Many authors have failed to demonstrate an association between smoking and prostate cancer.<sup>1-3</sup> However, large epidemiological studies have shown that smoking is associated with higher risk of developing and dying of prostate cancer.<sup>4</sup> Thus, large sample sizes and long follow-ups are important when studying prostate cancer given that its natural history can be quite long. In selected clinical scenarios, smoking has been reported to be related to worse disease after diagnosis. For example, prostate cancer was associated with more aggressive disease at diagnosis<sup>5</sup> and after surgical treatment,<sup>6</sup> higher recurrence rates after surgery<sup>7,8</sup> and radiotherapy,9 increased risk of metastatic disease after radiotherapy<sup>10</sup> and worst overall survival.<sup>1,11</sup> However, large epidemiological studies are still required to confirm these findings and elucidate the role of cigarette smoking on prostate cancer progression after diagnosis.

Recently, Kenfield *et al.*,<sup>12</sup> using data from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, published a paper investigating the association of prostate cancer with overall, disease-specific and disease-free survival. In that study, they evaluated a cohort of 5366 prostate cancer cases over a follow-up of 22 years. All patients provided detailed information on smoking status before cancer diagnosis. Smoking exposure such as cumulative tobacco exposure (pack-years), smoking status (current, former,

Correspondence: Dr DM Moreira (dam@doctor.com)

never) and time since quitting and prostate cancer outcomes including biochemical recurrence, overall survival, prostate cancer-specific mortality (PCSM) and cardiovascular mortality were analyzed. At cancer diagnosis, current smokers had higher clinical stage and grade compared with non-smokers (P<0.001). In addition, they found that smokers exercise less, drink more coffee, consume more saturated fat and less calcium and tend to have less previous screening for prostate cancer. Smokers had higher risk of biochemical recurrence (HR=1.61), PCSM (HR=1.61), cardiovascular mortality (HR=2.13) and all-cause mortality (HR=2.28). At 5 years of followup, a higher disease-specific mortality among smokers was already noted and persisted throughout the study interval. Non-smokers and former smokers who had quit for more than 10 years before cancer diagnosis had a better prognosis than current smokers or more recent quitters. The absolute crude mortality rates per 1000 person-years were 9.6 and 10.3 respectively for non-smokers and long-term former smokers, and 13.8 and 15.3 respectively for more short-term former smokers and current smokers. A dose-effect relationship between smoking and disease-specific mortality was observed. Interestingly, former smokers who quit less than 10 years before cancer diagnosis and smoked less than 20 pack-years had similar risks to current smokers for biochemical recurrence, but their risks of dying from prostate cancer were comparable to never smokers.

The authors hypothesize that the deleterious effects of smoking on prostate cancer mortality are due to worst stage and grade at diagnosis. Given that prostate cancer stage is altered by screening,<sup>13</sup> it is conceivable that smokers present with more advanced disease in part due to the lower screening rate observed in the study. This suggests that smokers may be less attentive to preventive measures than non-smokers which may have deleterious consequences later, given that

patients with locally advanced disease are known to have worst survival<sup>14</sup> and screening practices for prostate cancer have impact on mortality.<sup>15,16</sup> This is also supported by the fact that no differences in PCSM between smokers and non-smokers were observed after adjustment for cancer stage and grade and screening. Moreover, the authors speculate that the biological effects of smoking on cancer progression may be mediated by direct action of carcinogens, modulation of sexual hormones, epigenetic gene expression changes, nicotine-induced angiogenesis or the interplay among them. Although all these hypotheses are plausible, their data do not favor any specific pathway. Thus, it will be interesting to see further studies looking at these mechanisms by which smoking affects prostate cancer.

The study is not without limitations. One of the caveats is that, being a cohort of health professionals, the prevalence of smoking is only 5.2%-three times lower than many other series. Thus, it is possible that in populations with higher prevalence of smoking the differences in PCSM between groups may be diluted or attenuated, given that the strength of the association found was much higher for cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality. In those populations, patients might die of other smoking-related diseases before developing end-stage prostate cancer, reducing the effect of smoking on PCSM. Another limitation is that primary therapies for prostate cancer were not explored in detail. Given that smoking is associated with comorbidities which, in turn, may be correlated with treatment option, it is important to take into consideration that treatments may vary among smoking groups and that may modify the disease course. Finally, no information about smoking habits changes after diagnosis was available. Given the long natural history of prostate cancer, changes in smoking habits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Division of Urologic Surgery, Department of Surgery, and the Duke Prostate Center, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710, USA; <sup>2</sup>Urology Section, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Durham, NC 27705, USA and <sup>3</sup>The Arthur Smith Institute for Urology, North Shore Long Island Jewish Health System, New Hyde Park, NY, USA

such as quitting smoking may also affect disease progression and ideally should be accounted for.

In conclusion, this is one of the largest studies that demonstrated an association between smoking at the time of diagnosis and adverse prostate cancer events. Its strengths include the large sample size, long follow-up, consistency of findings and doseeffect relationship of their results. The authors found that smoking was associated with worst overall and PCSM mainly due to its effect on tumor differences at diagnosis in this population of low prevalence of current smokers. The effect of smoking on PCSM seems to be reversible after 10 years of cessation of cigarette consumption before a prostate cancer diagnosis. Their conclusions reinforce the benefits of counseling patients for smoking cessation, especially before diagnosis of prostate cancer, but in the current era of highly screened populations and stage migration to better clinical profiles at diagnosis, its role in this type of contemporary setting of early screening-detected cancers remains to be determined in present prospective cohorts.

- Watters JL, Park Y, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A, Albanes D. Cigarette smoking and prostate cancer in a prospective US cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; 18: 2427–35.
- 2 Butler LM, Wang R, Wong AS, Koh WP, Yu MC. Cigarette smoking and risk of prostate cancer among Singapore Chinese. *Cancer Causes Control* 2009; 20: 1967–74.
- 3 Adami HO, Bergstrom R, Engholm G, Nyrén O, Wolk A et al. A prospective study of smoking and risk of prostate cancer. Int J Cancer 1996; 67: 764–8.
- 4 Huncharek M, Haddock KS, Reid R, Kupelnick B. Smoking as a risk factor for prostate cancer: a metaanalysis of 24 prospective cohort studies. *Am J Public Health* 2010; **100**: 693–701.
- 5 Kobrinsky NL, Klug MG, Hokanson PJ, Sjolander DE, Burd L. Impact of smoking on cancer stage at diagnosis. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 907–13.
- 6 Roberts WW, Platz EA, Walsh PC. Association of cigarette smoking with extraprostatic prostate cancer in young men. J Urol 2003; 169: 512–6; discussion 516.
- 7 Moreira DM, Antonelli JA, Presti JC Jr, Aronson WJ, Terris MK et al. Association of cigarette smoking with interval to biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: results from the SEARCH database. Urology 2010; 76: 1218–23.

- 8 Joshu CE, Mondul AM, Meinhold CL, Humphreys EB, Han M *et al.* Cigarette smoking and prostate cancer recurrence after prostatectomy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011; **103**: 835–8.
- 9 Pickles T, Liu M, Berthelet E, Kim-Sing C, Kwan W et al. The effect of smoking on outcome following external radiation for localized prostate cancer. J Urol 2004; 171: 1543–6.
- 10 Pantarotto J, Malone S, Dahrouge S, Gallant V, Eapen L. Smoking is associated with worse outcomes in patients with prostate cancer treated by radical radiotherapy. *BJU Int* 2007; **99**: 564–9.
- 11 Zu K, Giovannucci E. Smoking and aggressive prostate cancer: a review of the epidemiologic evidence. *Cancer Causes Control* 2009; **20**: 1799–810.
- 12 Kenfield SA, Stampfer MJ, Chan JM, Giovannucci E. Smoking and prostate cancer survival and recurrence. JAMA 2011; 305: 2548–555.
- 13 Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL, Basler JW. Detection of organ-confined prostate cancer is increased through prostate-specific antigen-based screening. *JAMA* 1993; **270**: 948–54.
- 14 Johansson JE, Holmberg L, Johansson S, Bergstrom R, Adami HO. Fifteen-year survival in prostate cancer. A prospective, population-based study in Sweden. *JAMA* 1997; **277**: 467–71.
- 15 Schroder FH, Hugosson J, Roobol MJ, Tammela TL, Ciatto S *et al.* Screening and prostate-cancer mortality in a randomized European study. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**: 1320–8.
- 16 Hugosson J, Carlsson S, Aus G, Bergdahl S, Khatami A et al. Mortality results from the Goteborg randomised population-based prostate-cancer screening trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010; **11**: 725–32.

