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Varicocelectomy is the most commonly performed surgical procedure for the treatment of male infertility. Although several different techniques for varicocele repair have been described in the literature, microsurgical varicocelectomy performed through a subinguinal or inguinal incision is recognized as the gold-standard approach for varicocelectomy, due to high success rates with minimal complications. Standard indications for varicocelectomy include palpable varicocele(s), with one or more abnormal semen parameters, and, for the couple trying to conceive, in the setting of normal or correctable female infertility. However, varicocele repair is often recommended and undertaken for reasons other than infertility, including low serum testosterone, testicular pain, testicular hypotrophy and poor sperm DNA quality. This article reviews the technical aspects of microsurgical varicocelectomy, and its indications in adults and adolescents.
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INTRODUCTION

Clinical varicoceles are present in approximately 15% of the general male population, and in up to 35% of men with primary infertility and 75% of men with secondary infertility.1 Varicoceles are recognized as the most common surgically correctable cause of male infertility, but the exact mechanism of varicocele-induced impairment of spermatogenesis remains a matter of debate. The majority of men with varicoceles are asymptomatic and fertile, with only 15%–20% experiencing physical discomfort or problems related to fertility.1 The question of whether or not the effect of varicocele on testicular function is progressive remains unresolved, despite the considerable body of literature devoted to this topic.2–4 Therefore, current challenges in the management of varicoceles lie in determining which patients stand to benefit most from surgical correction, and when surgery should be performed.

Guidelines relating to varicoceles and infertility have been put forth by the American Urological Association (AUA),5 and more recently, by the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM).6 Both reports recommend varicocele repair in cases of a clinically palpable varicocele with documented infertility, one or more abnormal semen parameters, and in the setting of normal or potentially correctable female fertility. Varicocele repair is also recommended in individuals with palpable varicoceles and abnormal semen parameters who are not actively trying to conceive, and in adolescents who have reduced ipsilateral testicular size in the setting of a palpable varicocele. In addition to abnormal semen parameters, varicoceles have been associated with abnormal sperm DNA quality, testicular hypotrophy, impaired testosterone production and testicular pain. Several authors have advocated for varicocelectomy in these settings, especially given the evidence for a progressive effect of varicocele on testicular function.

Surgical options for varicocele repair include the traditional inguinal (Ivanissevich) or high retroperitoneal (Palomo) approaches, laparoscopic repair and microsurgical repair via an inguinal or subinguinal incision. Varicocele embolization is a non-surgical option. Complications of varicocele repair include hydrocele formation, persistence of recurrence of the hydrocele and rarely, testicular atrophy.1 Although no specific recommendations exist as to the optimal surgical technique for varicocelectomy, the use of magnification to preserve lymphatics and testicular arteries is recommended. As such, microsurgical varicocelectomy is considered the gold-standard technique for varicocelectomy in both adults and adolescents, due to lower postoperative recurrence and complication rates compared to other techniques.7 A recent meta-analysis also found microsurgical varicocelectomy to be associated with higher postoperative spontaneous pregnancy rates in infertile men with clinically palpable varicoceles.8

The aim of this article is to describe the authors’ approach to microsurgical varicocelectomy, and summarize the recently published literature on standard and alternative indications for varicocele repair using this technique, including infertility, sperm DNA quality, hypogonadism, testicular hypotrophy and pain.

TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF MICROSURGICAL VARICOCELECTOMY

An operating microscope allows for ×6 to ×25 magnification of the operating field, considerably enhancing the surgeon’s visual acuity and ability for precision. Magnification allows for meticulous hemostasis, identification and preservation of testicular arteries and lymphatics, and avoidance of inadvertent iatrogenic injuries.9,10 Microsurgical varicocelectomy can be performed using either an inguinal or subinguinal incision (Figure 1). Both approaches allow elevation of the spermatic cord for improved visualization of the cord structures, provide access to external spermatic and gubernacular veins and allow delivery of the ipsilateral testicle, for biopsy or examination under the...
microscope. Because the subinguinal incision obviates the need for opening any fascial layer, it is theoretically associated with a faster and less painful recovery. The subinguinal approach is, therefore, the preferred approach at our institution.

Nevertheless, certain anatomic considerations may favor use of the inguinal approach. The subinguinal level is usually associated with a greater number of smaller diameter veins, as well as more than one branch of the testicular artery, making dissection and arterial preservation more challenging, particularly for the inexperienced microsurgeon. The use of an inguinal incision in this setting provides access to the proximal spermatic cord, where the vascular structures are larger, and less likely to have branched. Accordingly, we also prefer an inguinal incision, with opening of the external oblique, in adolescents and in thin men with a tight, low, external inguinal ring. Secondly, in patients with a recurrent varicocele that was originally treated subinguinally, use of an inguinal approach may help avoid difficult dissection in a scarred surgical field. And lastly, an inguinal incision should be used when microsurgical varicocelectomy is to be performed in conjunction with an ipsilateral hernia repair.

The following paragraphs detail the surgical technique employed by the authors. If an inguinal approach is selected, the incision is initiated at or above the external ring and extended 2–3.5 cm laterally, along Langer’s lines. For a subinguinal approach, the incision is made along Langer’s lines just below the external ring. The size of the incision may vary between 1.5 cm and 3 cm, depending on whether or not delivery of the testicle is planned, and on the testicular size. Following skin incision, Camper’s and Scarpa’s fascia are similarly opened, leaving the gubernaculum intact for easy replacement of the testicle within the scrotum.

The operating microscope is brought into the field. The external oblique aponeurosis is identified and preserved. The ilio-inguinal nerve is identified and preserved. The spermatic cord is grasped with a Babcock clamp, placed over a penrose drain and delivered through the incision (Figure 2). In contrast, when a subinguinal approach is selected, blunt dissection using the surgeon’s index finger is performed distally and proximally along the cord, deep to Scarpa’s fascia, following which the cord can be easily grasped with a Babcock clamp.

The operating microscope is brought into the field. The external and internal spermatic fascias are opened under \( \times 10 \) magnification (Figure 2). All dissection of the cord structures is performed using a non-locking microsurgical needle holder and smooth microsurgical forceps. The cord is inspected for visible pulsations under \( \times 25 \) magnification. Papavarine irrigation is used to maximally dilate the arteries and help in their identification, along with a microprobe Doppler. Suspected arteries can also be tested by elevating them until they are near-occluded, and then slowly lowering them until pulsatile blood flow is noted to have been reestablished.

Once identified and dissected free of surrounding structures, arteries are encircled with small vessel loops. In approximately 50% of cases, the testicular artery may be adherent to the undersurface of a large vein. Veins are stripped free of associated lymphatics, doubly ligated with hemoclips or 4-0 silk ties and divided. Small veins are controlled with bipolar electrocautery. Lymphatics, cremasteric fibers, the vas deferens and associated vasal vessels are preserved (Figure 3). If the vas deferens is accompanied by veins larger than 3 mm in diameter, these should be ligated in order to prevent varicocele recurrence. The vas is typically accompanied by two sets of vessels; as long as one of these remains intact, adequate venous return is ensured.

Following ligation of the internal spermatic veins, venous return from the testicle is still theoretically possible via the external spermatic and gubernacular veins, and may contribute to varicocele recurrence. Although delivery of the testicle through the inguinal or subinguinal incision allows for inspection of all such collateral veins, the necessity of this step has been questioned by some authors. If delivered, identified collateral veins can easily be ligated with hemoclips and divided, leaving the gubernaculum intact for easy replacement of the testicle within the scrotum.

If opened, the external oblique aponeurosis may be closed with a continuous absorbable suture. Scarpa’s and Camper’s fascia are similarly reapproximated with interrupted monofilament absorbable suture. The incision is infiltrated with local anesthetic, and the skin is then closed with a 5-0 monofilament absorbable running subcuticular suture.
INDICATIONS FOR VARICOCELECTOMY: INFERTILITY

Previous reviews and meta-analyses have drawn conflicting conclusions regarding the fertility-related outcomes of varicocelectomy. Published literature on this topic is heterogeneous in terms of study design, patient selection criteria, follow-up strategies and reporting of fertility-related outcomes, making it difficult to compare results. Prospective, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the impact of varicocelectomy are limited in number, in part due to significant recruitment challenges. A multicenter, National Institutes of Health-supported RCT examining the effect of varicocelectomy on pregnancy and live birth rates was recently stopped after recruiting only three patients over a 2.5-year time period. Nevertheless, several recent studies have documented a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on sperm DNA quality. In a prospective study of 25 fertile men who underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy, Zini et al. reported significant postoperative improvements in percentage DNA fragmentation index and percentage high DNA stainability, which are indices of sperm DNA integrity and chromatin compaction, respectively. Similar findings have been reported by others. Additionally, la Vignera et al. have noted a decrease in sperm apoptosis markers in series of 30 men who underwent varicocelectomy, presumably indicating improved sperm quality.

Sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number is inversely related to male fertility. During spermiogenesis, there is normally a sharp reduction in sperm mitochondrial DNA content. It has been postulated that higher than normal numbers of mitochondria in mature sperm may be linked to increased oxidative stress. A prospective pilot study of 14 men undergoing microsurgical varicocelectomy recently demonstrated a postoperative improvement in DNA fragmentation index and high DNA stainability, along with a significant decrease in sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number, thereby providing an additional mechanism for the beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on male fertility.

Taken together, these findings suggest that varicocelectomy improves both spermatogenesis and spermiogenesis. Impaired DNA fragmentation may be considered an alternative indication for varicocelectomy repair. However, supporting data from RCTs are lacking.

As such, the AUA and ASRM currently do not recommend routine clinical use of sperm DNA testing.

LOW SERUM TESTOSTERONE

Data from human and animal studies have previously shown a negative impact of varicoceles on Leydig cell function. Men with clinical varicoceles have been shown to have lower testosterone levels at every age, when compared to a fertility-proven control group of vasectomy reversal patients without varicoceles. Emerging evidence demonstrates a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on increases in serum testosterone, regardless of patient age or laterality of varicocele. In the series by Tanrikut et al., approximately 70% of patients who underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy had an increase in serum testosterone levels postoperatively, by an average of 100 ng dl$^{-1}$. These findings have been echoed by a

DNA FRAGMENTATION

Varicoceles have been associated with increased levels of reactive oxygen species and decreased seminal antioxidant capacity, increased sperm DNA damage and defective spermatogenesis in affected patients. Sperm DNA damage, in turn, has been linked to lower rates of spontaneous conception, as well as assisted reproductive pregnancies. Although oxidative stress is believed to be one possible mechanism by which varicoceles cause impaired spermatogenesis, a cause-and-effect relationship between varicoceles and DNA damage has not been firmly established. Several studies have shown similar levels of sperm DNA damage in fertile men with or without varicoceles, making it difficult to attribute sperm DNA damage to the varicocele rather than the infertility per se.

Nevertheless, several recent studies have documented a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on sperm DNA quality. In a prospective study of 25 fertile men who underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy, Zini et al. reported significant postoperative improvements in percentage DNA fragmentation index and percentage high DNA stainability, which are indices of sperm DNA integrity and chromatin compaction, respectively. Similar findings have been reported by others. Additionally, la Vignera et al. have noted a decrease in sperm apoptosis markers in series of 30 men who underwent varicocelectomy, presumably indicating improved sperm quality.

Sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number is inversely related to male fertility. During spermiogenesis, there is normally a sharp reduction in sperm mitochondrial DNA content. It has been postulated that higher than normal numbers of mitochondria in mature sperm may be linked to increased oxidative stress. A prospective pilot study of 14 men undergoing microsurgical varicocelectomy recently demonstrated a postoperative improvement in DNA fragmentation index and high DNA stainability, along with a significant decrease in sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number, thereby providing an additional mechanism for the beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on male fertility.

Taken together, these findings suggest that varicocelectomy improves both spermatogenesis and spermiogenesis. Impaired DNA fragmentation may be considered an alternative indication for varicocelectomy repair. However, supporting data from RCTs are lacking.

As such, the AUA and ASRM currently do not recommend routine clinical use of sperm DNA testing.

INDICATIONS FOR VARICOCELECTOMY: INFERTILITY

Previous reviews and meta-analyses have drawn conflicting conclusions regarding the fertility-related outcomes of varicocelectomy. Published literature on this topic is heterogeneous in terms of study design, patient selection criteria, follow-up strategies and reporting of fertility-related outcomes, making it difficult to compare results. Prospective, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the impact of varicocelectomy are limited in number, in part due to significant recruitment challenges. A multicenter, National Institutes of Health-supported RCT examining the effect of varicocelectomy on pregnancy and live birth rates was recently stopped after recruiting only three patients over a 2.5-year time period. The relationship between varicocele and infertility has nevertheless continued to be closely examined in the published literature.

In a meta-analysis of 14 studies comparing pre- and postoperative semen parameters following varicocelectomy performed via either the high ligation, inguinal or subinguinal approach, Schauer et al. documented significant and comparable improvements in sperm concentration and motility, regardless of surgical technique. Similar improvements in postoperative semen parameters have been reported by others. Semen parameters improve by 3 months following varicocelectomy, these studies have been retrospective, the degree of improvement in semen parameters and pregnancy rates have not been firmly established. Several studies have shown similar levels of sperm DNA damage in fertile men with or without varicoceles, making it difficult to attribute sperm DNA damage to the varicocele rather than the infertility per se.

Nevertheless, several recent studies have documented a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on sperm DNA quality. In a prospective study of 25 fertile men who underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy, Zini et al. reported significant postoperative improvements in percentage DNA fragmentation index and percentage high DNA stainability, which are indices of sperm DNA integrity and chromatin compaction, respectively. Similar findings have been reported by others. Additionally, la Vignera et al. have noted a decrease in sperm apoptosis markers in series of 30 men who underwent varicocelectomy, presumably indicating improved sperm quality.

Sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number is inversely related to male fertility. During spermiogenesis, there is normally a sharp reduction in sperm mitochondrial DNA content. It has been postulated that higher than normal numbers of mitochondria in mature sperm may be linked to increased oxidative stress. A prospective pilot study of 14 men undergoing microsurgical varicocelectomy recently demonstrated a postoperative improvement in DNA fragmentation index and high DNA stainability, along with a significant decrease in sperm mitochondrial DNA copy number, thereby providing an additional mechanism for the beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on male fertility.

Taken together, these findings suggest that varicocelectomy improves both spermatogenesis and spermiogenesis. Impaired DNA fragmentation may be considered an alternative indication for varicocelectomy repair. However, supporting data from RCTs are lacking.

As such, the AUA and ASRM currently do not recommend routine clinical use of sperm DNA testing.

LOW SERUM TESTOSTERONE

Data from human and animal studies have previously shown a negative impact of varicoceles on Leydig cell function. Men with clinical varicoceles have been shown to have lower testosterone levels at every age, when compared to a fertility-proven control group of vasectomy reversal patients without varicoceles. Emerging evidence demonstrates a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy on increases in serum testosterone, regardless of patient age or laterality of varicocele. In the series by Tanrikut et al., approximately 70% of patients who underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy had an increase in serum testosterone levels postoperatively, by an average of 100 ng dl$^{-1}$. These findings have been echoed by a
prospective study of 200 men divided equally between varicocelectomy and observation groups, in which testosterone increased by an average of 80 ng dl⁻¹ following microsurgical varicocelectomy, resulting in normalization of total testosterone levels in 78% of treated men vs. 16% of controls.⁴² Similarly, a recent meta-analysis of nine studies published between 1995 and 2011, involving 814 patients, has shown an approximate 100 ng dl⁻¹ increase in serum testosterone following varicocelectomy.⁴³ Unfortunately, the vast majority of these studies have been retrospective, and the only study to include a control group was not a randomized trial.

Based on the above data, varicocelectomy has nevertheless been proposed as an option for the prevention and treatment of low serum testosterone, even in men with semen parameters in the normal range. But longitudinal data on the long-term maintenance of higher testosterone levels following varicocelectomy, possibly obviating the need for exogenous testosterone supplementation, are not yet available.

PAIN
Although testicular pain has long been associated with varicoceles, the majority of patients do not present with this complaint. Varicocele-associated pain is usually described as a dull ache or ‘scrotal heaviness’ in the ipsilateral testis, which is aggravated by standing or physical activity, and alleviated by lying supine. It is usually only seen in men with large varicoceles. First line of therapy consists of conservative measures such as rest and scrotal support, and exclusion of alternative causes of scrotal pain, prior to consideration of varicocelectomy.

Several authors have described successful resolution of varicocele-associated pain following varicocelectomy. While laparoscopic and robotic-assisted approaches have been described for this indication, most contemporary studies use a microsurgical subinguinal approach. Reported success rates vary between 50% and 90%, depending on the definition used.⁴⁶–⁴⁹ Varicocele grade,⁴⁷ preoperative duration of pain⁴⁸ and quality of pain⁴⁹ have been found to be predictors of postvaricocelectomy pain resolution in different series.

Despite the number of studies examining the effect of varicocelectomy on testicular pain, none have evaluated the potential placebo effect of varicocele repair on pain, or compared the results of varicocelectomy with an alternative procedure such as dissection and denervation of the spermatic cord. Instead, due to high patient-reported success rates, varicocelectomy continues to be regarded as an indication for the treatment of classical varicocele-associated pain.

ADOLESCENT VARICOCELES
Management of the adolescent varicocele remains one of the most interesting and controversial topics in pediatric urology. Microsurgical varicocelectomy is a safe and effective technique for use in the adolescent population.⁵⁰,⁵¹ The procedure is similar to that performed in the adult population. Unlike adults, the fertility potential of adolescents is usually unknown at the time of presentation, necessitating a selective approach to surgical intervention. Varicocele grade, testicular disproportion and the potential for ‘catch-up’ growth during adolescence have all been previously proposed as criteria for adolescent varicocele repair. However, there are nuances to these criteria. A recent study noted no significant difference in semen parameters between adolescents with grade II vs. grade III varicoceles, indicating that high grade of varicocele alone is not a sufficient indication for surgical correction.⁵²

Varicoceles are associated with ipsilateral testicular hypotrophy, but the testicular volume differential that is significant enough to warrant varicocelectomy has been a matter of debate. The most recent meta-analysis on this topic examined 14 studies involving 1475 patients, and found a definitive advantage to varicocelectomy in reducing testicular hypotrophy when intertesticular size discrepancy was ≥10%.⁵³ Unlike previous studies, which have favored a size discrepancy of ≥20% as an indication for varicocelectomy, this meta-analysis did not take into account semen parameters, which have been shown to be largely normal at testicular volume differentials between 10% and 20%.⁵⁴

The concept of catch-up growth is complex. Catch-up growth has been shown to occur after varicocele ligation, independent of the patient’s Tanner stage or age, but can occur spontaneously in a significant proportion of adolescents with unrepaird varicoceles.⁵⁵ There is no concrete evidence to suggest that waiting for a few years to correct an adolescent varicocele results in worse functional outcomes. Therefore, it is recommended that testicular disproportion be observed for at least 1 year to allow for spontaneous catch-up growth, prior to surgical intervention.⁵⁶

One recent study evaluated sperm function after varicocelectomy in adolescent boys with grade II–III varicoceles, by comparing pre- and postoperative semen samples, and found that varicocele repair was associated with decreased levels of sperm DNA fragmentation and improved sperm mitochondrial activity.⁵⁷ How the results of this and other future studies will impact the indications for varicocelectomy in the adolescent population remains to be determined.

According to expert opinion, a normal semen analysis trumps a testicular volume differential, and justifies an observational approach in adolescents with varicoceles.⁵⁸ Accordingly, AUA guidelines recommend that adolescents with a palpable varicocele and normal ipsilateral testicular size be followed annually with objective assessment of testicular size and/or semen analyses.⁵ Deterioration in semen parameters, significant and persistent ipsilateral testicular hypotrophy in adolescents who are unable to provide a semen sample, or classic varicocele-associated pain, should be used as the primary indications for surgical intervention.

CONCLUSION
Fertility is the overriding consideration in the management of varicoceles, and varicocelectomy remains the most commonly performed surgical procedure in the treatment of male infertility. However, varicocele repair is often recommended and undertaken for reasons other than infertility, including pain, low serum testosterone and poor DNA sperm quality. Despite strong evidence of the multiple potential benefits of varicocelectomy, well-designed, randomized studies have not been performed to precisely define the benefits of varicocelectomy for men who meet the criteria for alternative indications for varicocele repair. Given the research interest and growing literature on this topic, we hope that future studies will overcome previous methodological flaws.
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