Kinetics of testosterone recovery in clinically localized prostate cancer patients treated with radical prostatectomy and subsequent short-term adjuvant androgen deprivation therapy
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Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is a standard treatment for metastatic, recurrent and locally advanced prostate cancer (PCa). The aim of this study is to investigate the timing and extent of testosterone recovery in clinically localized PCa patients treated with radical prostatectomy (RP) and subsequent short-term adjuvant ADT. A total of 95 localized PCa patients underwent RP and 9-month adjuvant ADT were included in this prospective study. Serum testosterone level was measured before adjuvant ADT, at ADT cessation, and at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after cessation of ADT. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess variables associated with the time of testosterone normalization. The results showed that median patient age was 67 years and median testosterone level before adjuvant ADT was 361 (230–905) ng dl⁻¹. All patients finished 9-month adjuvant ADT and achieved castrate testosterone level. At 3 months after ADT cessation, testosterone recovered to supracastrate level in 97.9% patients and to normal level in 36.9% patients. The percentage of patients who recovered to normal testosterone level increased to 66.3%, 86.3% and 92.6% at 6, 9 and 12 months, respectively. Cox regression model found that higher baseline testosterone level (> 300 ng dl⁻¹) was the only variable associated with a shorter time to testosterone normalization (hazard ratio: 1.98; P = 0.012). In conclusion, in most patients, testosterone recovered to supracastrate level at 3 months and to normal level at 12 months after 9-month adjuvant ADT cessation. Patients with higher baseline testosterone level need shorter time of testosterone normalization.
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INTRODUCTION

Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is a standard treatment for metastatic, recurrent and locally advanced prostate cancer (PCa). Luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonists are widely used for ADT because it allows for testosterone recovery after its cessation. After the administration of LHRH agonists, castrate levels of testosterone are achieved in 2–4 weeks.1,2 However, the factors which influence the time course and extent of testosterone recovery after the cessation of LHRH agonists are poorly understood. Most previous studies investigating this issue are retrospectively designed and have some limitations, including (i) devoid of measuring baseline testosterone level before ADT; (ii) inconsistency of ADT duration in the same study; and (iii) lack of considering the effect of radiation therapy on testosterone recovery.3–10 Therefore, the results from previous studies are controversial and confusing.

The role of adjuvant ADT is still controversial for patients treated with radical prostatectomy (RP). In a prospective, randomized trial, a survival benefit with adjuvant ADT in patients with lymph node positive disease was shown.11 In addition, the ADT alone control arm of SWOG (Southwest Oncology Group) study S9921 demonstrated excellent 5-year progression-free (92.5%) and overall survival rates (95.9%) for men with high-risk PCa treated with RP and 2-year period (long-term) adjuvant ADT.12 These excellent results suggest that there might be a role for adjuvant ADT in men with pT3 disease and/or positive surgical margins. Moreover, the increasing evidence of ADT related toxicities led us to think about whether the short-term adjuvant ADT has the same effect as the long-term adjuvant ADT. Therefore, we conducted a prospective study in high-risk clinically localized PCa patients to investigate the efficacy and safety of a combined approach of RP plus subsequent short-term (9 months) adjuvant ADT. Although the survival data are not yet mature, we summarize the currently available data to investigate the timing and extent of testosterone recovery after short-term ADT cessation in this study.
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

The current study was designed to investigate the efficacy and safety of a combined approach of RP plus subsequent short-term (9 months) adjuvant ADT for high-risk clinically localized PCa patients. From January 2006 to July 2010, 95 Chinese clinically localized high-risk PCa patients were included in this study at our institution. All patients underwent open retroperitoneal RP and had at least one of the following poor prognostic factors: pathological Gleason score ≥8, pathological stage ≥pT3a or positive surgical margins. Patients with positive pelvic lymph nodes were excluded from this study. No patient received any kind of neoadjuvant hormonal therapy before RP. Histological slides of all prostatectomy specimens were assessed by two dedicated genitourinary pathologists. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels were measured 1 day before RP and at 6 weeks after RP in all patients. Adjuvant ADT started at 6 weeks post-RP after the postoperative PSA level was measured. All patients had normal serum testosterone (≥230 ng dL⁻¹) before the start of adjuvant ADT. Short-term adjuvant ADT lasted for 9 months and consisted of goserelin acetate 3.6 mg subcutaneously or triptorelin acetate 3.75 mg intramuscularly every month, and bicalutamide 50 mg orally once a day. Patients were excluded if they received any kind of radiation therapy before or after RP. This study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration II and approved by the Institutional Review Board of our institution. A written informed consent was obtained from each patient before any study-specific investigation was done.

**Testosterone assay**

Serum testosterone level in all samples was detected in the same laboratory using Access Testosterone (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA) based on electrochemiluminescence immunoassay technique. The assay was used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. A serum testosterone level lower than 50 ng dL⁻¹ was considered as castrate level, and equal or greater than 230 ng dL⁻¹ was considered as normal. Testosterone level was measured before the start of adjuvant ADT to ensure all patients included in this study had normal testosterone level before the start of adjuvant ADT. Testosterone and PSA were measured at the time of adjuvant ADT cessation, and subsequently at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after the cessation of ADT.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the study cohort. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess whether age (<65 years vs. ≥65 years), preoperative PSA level (<20 ng ml⁻¹ vs. ≥20 ng ml⁻¹), baseline testosterone level (<300 ng dL⁻¹ vs. ≥300 ng dL⁻¹), pathological Gleason score (<8 vs. 8–10) and pathological stage (T2 vs. T3) were associated with the time of testosterone normalization. The hazard ratio for the time of testosterone normalization with associated 95% confidence interval (CI) and two-sided P values were calculated for each variable. SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Patients’ characteristics and baseline data are listed in Table 1. The median patient age was 67 years old and the median patient body mass index was 24.2 kg m⁻². In prostatectomy specimens, Gleason score ≥8 were observed in 52.6% patients and pathologic stage ≥pT3a was found in 80.0% patients. The median PSA level before RP was 12.5 ng ml⁻¹. Before adjuvant ADT at 6 weeks after RP, the median PSA level decreased to 0.049 ng ml⁻¹ and 89.5% (85/95) patients achieved a PSA level <0.1 ng ml⁻¹. The serum testosterone level before start of adjuvant ADT ranged from 230 to 905 ng dL⁻¹. All patients finished 9-month adjuvant ADT and achieved castrate testosterone level at the time of ADT cessation. After the cessation of adjuvant ADT, one patient developed biochemical recurrence (PSA level greater than 0.2 ng ml⁻¹) 9 months later and the remaining 94 patients were in good health without any relapse of prostate cancer at the end of this study.

As shown in Figure 1, the median serum testosterone level at 0, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after the cessation of ADT was 19.5, 47.5, 257.0, 316.5, 355.0 and 384.5 ng dL⁻¹, respectively. Figure 2 depicts the cumulative incidence for testosterone recovery to supracrataste level and normal level after cessation of adjuvant ADT. At 1 month after cessation, testosterone recovered to supracrataste level in 43.2% (41/95) patients and no patient had his testosterone recovered to normal range. At 3 months after cessation, testosterone recovered to supracrataste level at 6 months after ADT cessation and maintained to the end of this study. The cumulative incidence of patients whose testosterone level recovered to normal range increased to 66.3% (63/95), 86.3% (82/95) and 92.6% (88/95) at 6, 9 and 12 months, respectively.

On multivariate analysis (Table 2) with a Cox regression model including age, PSA before RP, baseline testosterone level, pathological Gleason score and pathological stage, higher baseline testosterone level (≥300 ng dL⁻¹) was the only variable associated with a shorter time to testosterone normalization (HR: 1.98; 95% CI: 1.17–3.37; P=0.012).

Figures 3 shows cumulative incidence curves for recovery to normal testosterone level according to baseline testosterone level (<300 ng dL⁻¹ vs. ≥300 ng dL⁻¹).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study is the first prospective trial that investigated the factors associated with testosterone recovery after ADT cessation in...
clinically localized PCa patients treated by RP and subsequent short- term (lasted 9 months) adjuvant ADT. In this study, we have found that 6 months after the cessation of short-term adjuvant ADT, testosterone recovered to supracastrate level and normal range in 100% and 66.3% patients, respectively. As time went by, 92.6% patients recovered to normal level at 12 months after the cessation of adjuvant ADT. In addition, multivariate analysis showed that higher baseline testosterone level before ADT is correlated with a shorter time of testosterone normalization after ADT cessation. However, we did not find a correlation of time of testosterone normalization with testosterone level before ADT is correlated with a shorter time of testosterone recovery to normal level at 12 months after the last LHRH agonists injection. Results from the present study showed that 97.9% and 100% patients could recover to supracastrate testosterone level at 3 and 6 months after cessation of 9-month ADT. Therefore, it is not difficult for PCa patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level after short-term ADT therapy, because more than 90% patients could achieve this goal within 3 months. However, when it comes to long-term ADT, things become complicated. In a study which included 32 patients who received ADT for a minimum of 2 years (24–87 months) as an adjuvant therapy for RP or external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), Kaku et al. revealed that it took 30 months for more than 90% patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level. Yoon et al. also reported that after 2 years of adjuvant ADT for PCa patients underwent RP, it took 36 months for 93.2% patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level. These data suggested that after long-term ADT, it takes a few years for most patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level.

Over the past decade, increasing evidence suggested that ADT, which leads to castrate testosterone level, is associated with numerous side effects, such as osteoporosis, obesity, sarcopenia, lipid alterations, insulin resistance and increased risk for diabetes and cardiovascular morbidity. Moreover, serum PSA, which is widely used for early detection and monitoring the treatment response of PCa, is strongly androgen-dependent. Therefore, studies investigating the timing and extent of testosterone recovery after ADT cessation in PCa patients should focus on two crucial issues: first, time of testosterone recovery to supracastrate level, and second, time of testosterone recovery to normal level. Once testosterone recovered to supracastrate level, it would influence serum PSA level and the interpretation of PSA relapse rates in clinical studies involving LHRH agonists. After testosterone recovered to normal level, the side effects of ADT would disappear completely.

Time of testosterone recovery to supracastrate level after ADT has been investigated in previous studies. In a randomized clinical trial using intermittent hormonal therapy for PCa patients with increasing PSA after definitive local treatment, Gulley et al. found that after 6 months of ADT, more than 90% patients achieved supracastrate serum testosterone level by 18 weeks. In another study Murthy and colleagues found that after short-course (lasted 54–194 days) neoadjuvant ADT and radical radiotherapy in localized PCa, all patients recovered to supracastrate testosterone level by 12 weeks after the last LHRH agonists injection. Results from the present study showed that 97.9% and 100% patients could recover to supracastrate testosterone level at 3 and 6 months after cessation of 9-month ADT. Therefore, it is not difficult for PCa patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level after short-term ADT therapy, because more than 90% patients could achieve this goal within 3 months. However, when it comes to long-term ADT, things become complicated. In a study which included 32 patients who received ADT for a minimum of 2 years (24–87 months) as an adjuvant therapy for RP or external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), Kaku et al. revealed that it took 30 months for more than 90% patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level. Yoon et al. also reported that after 2 years of adjuvant ADT for PCa patients underwent RP, it took 36 months for 93.2% patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level. These data suggested that after long-term ADT, it takes a few years for most patients to recover to supracastrate testosterone level.

Previous studies did not solve the issue concerning time of testosterone recovery to normal level after ADT successfully, because (i) the definition of normal testosterone level varied among these studies; and (ii) few studies measured baseline testosterone level before ADT but none of them excluded patients without normal baseline testosterone. It has been reported that if a patient had baseline testosterone level below the normal range, it is difficult for him to recover to normal range after the cessation of ADT. The first strength of the present study is that we defined a serum testosterone level ≥230 ng dL$^{-1}$ (8 nmol L$^{-1}$) as normal and excluded patients whose baseline testosterone lower than normal level. The reason for defining testosterone ≥230 ng dL$^{-1}$ as normal is that International Society of Andrology, European Association of Urology, European Academy of Andrology and American Society of Andrology recommendations suggest that patients with serum testosterone levels below 230 ng dL$^{-1}$ (8 nmol L$^{-1}$) will usually benefit from testosterone replacement treatment.15,16 Previously, Gulley et al. defined testosterone ≥212 ng dL$^{-1}$ as normal and found that 80% patients achieved this level at 6 months after short-term (6 months) ADT. In another study Murthy et al. defined testosterone ≥6 nmol L$^{-1}$ (173 ng dL$^{-1}$) as normal and reported that after short-term (54–194 days) neoadjuvant ADT and EBRT, 89% patients recovered to normal range at 6 months. However, in this study, only 66.3% patients recovered to normal testosterone level at 6 months after the cessation of short-term (9 months) adjuvant ADT. This may be due to the comparatively longer period of ADT and different definition of normal testosterone level in this study. The second strength of this study is that we excluded patients who received any kind of radiation therapy before or after RP. Previous studies revealed that EBRT for PCa leads to impaired spermatogenesis and depressed testosterone production, because the routine EBRT dose for treating PCa is 78 Gy, which results in approximately 2 Gy radiation...
scattered to unshielded testes. Recently, Zagars et al. demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in testosterone at 3 months after EBRT, and Daniell et al. reported lowered testosterone levels at 3–8 years after EBRT compared with patients treated with RP. Most previous studies which investigated testosterone recovery included patients treated by ADT combined with EBRT and did not set a control group receiving EBRT alone. Therefore, they could not separate the effects of ADT on the extent and timing of testosterone recovery from that of EBRT plus ADT. Benefit from the design of this study, testosterone recovery in our patients bears only the effect of 9-month adjuvant ADT.

Factors including age before ADT, duration of ADT, baseline testosterone level, baseline dihydroxytestosterone level, race and Gleason score at diagnosis have been reported to be correlated with the timing and extent of testosterone recovery after ADT in previous studies. Therefore, it is not surprising that a correlation between patient baseline testosterone level and timing of testosterone normalization was found in this study. We failed to demonstrate a correlation between age and timing of testosterone normalization in our patients. It may be due to that all patients included in this study had normal baseline testosterone level. Previous studies found that younger age was associated with a faster recovery of testosterone and gave the plausible explanation which was the decreased ability of older men to produce and secrete testosterone. If patients who had pre-existing dysfunctional Leydig cells and subnormal baseline testosterone level were excluded, the association between age and testosterone recovery may disappear in these studies.

Our study also has several limitations. One limitation was that the blood samples for testosterone test were not drawn at the same time each day. Therefore, we could not avoid the influence of diurnal variation effect on serum testosterone level. The second limitation was that we did not evaluate the side effects of ADT in our patients and could not determine whether the side effects were disappeared after testosterone recovered to normal level.

CONCLUSIONS
This prospective study shows that in most clinically localized PCa patients treated by RP and subsequent short-term (9 months) adjuvant ADT, serum testosterone can recover to supracastrate level at 3 months after ADT cessation and to normal level at 12 months after ADT cessation. Moreover, patients with higher baseline testosterone level need shorter time of testosterone normalization after adjuvant ADT cessation.
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Table 2 Multivariate Cox regression model for time to normalization of testosterone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;65 years vs. ≥65 years)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.36–1.09)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (&lt;20 ng ml⁻¹ vs. ≥20 ng ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.42–1.30)</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline testosterone (&lt;300 ng dl⁻¹ vs. ≥300 ng dl⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.98 (1.17–3.37)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological Gleason score (&lt;8 vs. 8–10)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.47–1.40)</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage (T2 vs. T3)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.51–1.63)</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

Figure 3 The cumulative incidence curves of recovery to normal testosterone level by baseline testosterone level (<300 ng dl⁻¹ vs. ≥300 ng dl⁻¹).

Figure 4 The cumulative incidence curves of recovery to normal testosterone level by baseline testosterone level (<300 ng dl⁻¹ vs. ≥300 ng dl⁻¹).
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