An old emperor finds new clothing: rejuvenation in our time
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Those who don’t understand their history are condemned to repeat it (Santayana)
History repeats itself, first as tragedy and then as farce (Marx)

To cast this sage historical advice into contemporary terms, the eternal human predisposition to believe in flimsy promises of rejuvenation needs to be well understood, or repeated. As an ingenious species, we have made grand advances in overcoming the physical limits of our biology. Yet while technology has overcome our native ponderous, gravity- and land-bound locomotion with air, land and sea travel, the irreversibility of time and inevitability of death remain stubbornly unyielding. Even such prolongation of lifespan that we have achieved only reveals more starkly the progressive somatic decay and waning of virility which erode dreams of enduring youthful vigour. Lurking just below the conscious horizon, wishful thinking about rejuvenation regularly resurfaces whenever social conditions favour such indulgence. This ancient latent desire to reverse the effects of ageing, most prominently focused on male virility, has led to periodic eruptions of rejuvenation folly—false hopes, built from dreams and armed with futile means, intent on reversing ageing. The epitome of rejuvenation follies is depicted in Lucas Cranach’s sixteenth century fantasy landscape of the Fountain of Youth, the legendary spring waters that restore youthfulness, portraying wizened old crones entering a bathing pool to emerge as nubile youthfulness, portraying wizened old crones. Curiously, the painter’s imagination considers it sufficient to rekindle youthful figures. With the earnest self-belief of pioneers proclaiming scientific triumphs, these doctors published their methods to allow for others to replicate reflecting fidelity in their motivation rather than hunger for limelight or enrichment. However, decades later, once the testosterone content of testes could be measured it was easily calculated or shown directly that Brown-Sequard’s aqueous extract could yield no hydrophobic constituents such as testosterone. Like much good science, this realisation came too late to derail the flashy transit of hollow fashion. Consequently, Brown-Sequard’s, as well as the associated millenarian fads, were simply placebos, mass delusions flourishing amongst a credulous public in thrall to the hubris of early medical science with its new temptation of scientific rejuvenation. Instinctively, contemporary medical journals deplored Brown-Sequard’s claims as ‘… recalling … with an incredulous smile … the wild imagings of medieval philosophers in search of an elixir vitae …’; disdaining his discovery as a ‘Pentacle of Rejuvenescence’ (an ancient magical talisman consisting of a five-pointed star believed to summon energy.) and, preciently anticipating the placebo effects of expectation, recording that that the dramatic effects claimed in public displays could not be replicated in hospitalized patients. Nevertheless, the promise of revitalisation ensured enormous popularity for rejuvenation quackery until its abrupt eclipse in the 1930s. Thoughtful, scholarly scepticism is never much of a match for turbocharged optimism in the public arena regardless of the truth; and doctors, who always remain members of the community, are vulnerable to popular fashion and expectation. The demise and disappearance of rejuvenation quackery in the mid-1930s was due to the advent of the modern science of androgen pharmacology. This was signified by the 1935 virtual dead-heated race between three industrial scientific groups, crowned by Nobel Prize recognition for two but curiously not the third, to fully characterize the major mammalian male hormone, which came to be named testosterone. Hope never springs eternal more, it seems, than when it comes to rejuvenation.

AN ILLUSION FINDS A DEFINITION
A recent issue of New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM) publishes two closely related studies bookending the issue of testosterone administration to older men. This modern medical (re)incarnation of hormonal rejuvenation, with its scientific fixation on testosterone, has diverged during the last century from the ongoing non-medical lineage of rejuvenation follies which continue in the form of the expensive placebos of the Western health food supplement industry and Asian medicinal use of exotic animal body parts.

The concept of testosterone treatment for older men is based on considering male ageing as analogous to either menopause or
pathologically-based hypogonadism. The former is a false analogy as menopause has a unique natural history featuring relatively abrupt and complete cessation of ovarian estrogen secretion in mid-adult life. This is contrary to all other human hormonal systems which decline gradually, modestly and inconsistently with ageing. This dichotomy always provided a firm basis for empirically testing the value of estrogen replacement for a state of uniform, complete estrogen deficiency. Nevertheless, the first randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial\textsuperscript{10} was preceded by decades of widespread overuse of estrogens for menopause which declined by 80\% after the study's publication.\textsuperscript{11} This exuberant use was based on overinterpreted observational studies and fuelled by inflated expectations of not just symptomatic benefit, but of fundamental health benefits by modifying the natural history of major late-life fatal diseases of women. For all other age-related declines in pituitary hormonal axes, there is no convincing evidence of medical benefit, and notably less enthusiasm, for correcting the modest age-related decreases in thyroid, adrenal or growth hormones compared with the popularity of testosterone for both men and women.

The other analogy, with pathologically based hypogonadism, is based on the superficial resemblance of non-specific symptoms of ageing but which are equally apparent in many other hormonal deficiency states as well as chronic non-gonadal diseases. This 'andro-pause hypothesis', known also by various synonyms 'male menopause', 'viropause', 'partial androgen deficiency of the ageing male' and 'late onset hypogonadism' or 'late-life onset hypogonadism' was so poorly substantiated that the authoritative 2004 US Institute of Medicine's review\textsuperscript{12} concluded that better preliminary evidence was required to warrant a large-scale prospective study comparable to the Women's Health Initiative for estrogen replacement in menopause. Nevertheless, the last two decades have seen a ~20-fold increase in testosterone prescribing in the absence of any proven new indications for testosterone treatment. This increase is largely confined to the United States with minimal changes in other regional markets\textsuperscript{13} however, the 'andropause' bandwagon has undoubtedly left the station propelled by direct-to-public drug advertising.

One of the NEJM paper is from the European Male Ageing Study (EMAS), a large, well-designed observational study of male ageing involving over 3300 men drawn from population-based sources in eight European cities. EMAS is the European counterpart of the seminal Massachusetts Male Ageing Study (MMAS) from the New England Research Institute in Boston which, in a series of memorable and widely cited papers (250 papers, with over 9000 citations so far) over the last two decades created the first sound basis for population-based research into reproductive and related general health aspects of male ageing. EMAS has now begun reporting a series of studies covering much the same territory with similar means but using a larger, multicentre approach in diverse European populations.\textsuperscript{14,15}

This EMAS paper evaluated a wide range of non-specific physical and mental symptoms that accumulate during male ageing and focused on their relationship with blood testosterone levels. The approach of relating non-specific symptoms to serum testosterone levels originates from clinical studies that defined thresholds for symptoms of androgen deficiency in classical pathologically based hypogonadism using clinic-based, convenience populations\textsuperscript{16,17} an approach that was extended to population-based analysis of MMAS data seeking to define symptomatic age-related androgen deficiency among older men.\textsuperscript{18,19} An important caveat arising from detailed studies of individual men with authentic androgen deficiency is that, although individuals have highly consistent blood testosterone thresholds for symptoms, neither thresholds nor symptoms are consistent between individuals.\textsuperscript{16} Thus grouping individuals according to symptom(s) would encompass a wide range of individual blood testosterone thresholds so that averaged between-individual estimates of threshold would provide only a crude representation of the component, divergent individual thresholds.

On this background, the EMAS paper introduces a large, multicentre sample size; however, statistical power cannot overcome logical flaws. Examining a wide array of physical and questionnaire measures they found no significant association between physical or psychological features and blood testosterone levels. The analysis then focused on three sexual symptoms (erectile dysfunction, frequency of morning erections or sexual thoughts). Each exhibited a weak association with blood testosterone consisting of a shallow breakpoint (at levels of 8.0, 8.5 and 11 nmol l\textsuperscript{-1}) together with high rates of false positive (25–50\%) and negatives (40–50\%) indicative of a weak positive and negative predictive values, although that predictive analysis was not reported. The only consistently significant association was between all three sexual symptoms and a blood total testosterone concentration threshold of <8 nmol l\textsuperscript{-1}, but not <11 nmol l\textsuperscript{-1}. Yet, the paper proposes 'definition' that requires three sexual symptoms plus a higher blood testosterone, <11 nmol l\textsuperscript{-1}. Furthermore, these associations were all nullified by adjustment for age, obesity and coexisting illnesses indicating that they are due to confounding effects of underlying pathology rather than authentic relationships between those sexual symptoms and blood testosterone levels. A practical implication of this finding is that, among older men without known pituitary or testicular pathology, reduced blood testosterone is due to, and a marker for, their obesity and/or coexisting illnesses. Hence to rectify any deficit in blood testosterone levels, if that is warranted, should be directed towards ameliorating the underlying cause rather than administration of testosterone.

The proposed definition of 'andropause' also relies on calculation of a 'free' testosterone. This variable has an unearned and largely unquestioned reputation as a superior measure of testosterone action. In reality it has a flimsy biological rationale and is usually derived from inaccurate and misleading calculations rather than actual measurements. The concept of 'free' testosterone arose in the 1970s as a term borrowed from the early days of modern clinical pharmacology when mutual displacement of drugs from circulating binding proteins was a contemporaneous explanation for drug interactions. Although that concept of 'free' drugs has long been superseded by more physiological explanations for drug interactions such as reciprocal effects on drug metabolizing enzymes, drug transporters and orphan receptors,\textsuperscript{20} the concept of 'free' hormones persisted in endocrinology where it passed on from being an illustrative heuristic speculation to become an almost mystical axiom. In the EMAS paper, like most others using 'free' testosterone, this derived variable is calculated from the blood total testosterone and SHBG levels by a formula, often glorified as calculations using the 'Laws of Mass Action'. In reality, these calculations are poorly validated in large scale practical usage with substantial inaccuracies (20–40\% overestimation) compared with laboratory measurements of dialysable testosterone, the gold standard.\textsuperscript{21–23} Similar inaccuracies are present in the son-of-free testosterone, the 'bioavailable' testosterone.\textsuperscript{24} Such systematic errors are due to violations of the many assumptions in practical application of
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The TOM study aimed to evaluate somatic benefits of 6 months of testosterone treatment in older men focusing on the muscular and motivational effects of testosterone in frail men over 65 years with lowered serum testosterone. As expected, such a group of frail, elderly men had high background prevalence of pre-entry cardiovascular disorder. This underlying cardiovascular disease is itself responsible for lowering the blood testosterone levels as a non-specific consequence of any chronic illness. Ultimately the TOM study was terminated by its Data Safety and Monitoring Board due to the progressive excess of cardiovascular (23 versus 5 discontinuations in a final study population of 209) as well as other adverse effects in the testosterone-treated men. Although the study’s definition of cardiovascular safety was broad (including unexplained syncope and peripheral edema), the unexpected findings were consistent using various alternative definitions.

As the TOM study design was based on conventional masking, entry criteria, individual dose titration and monitoring, these findings can be reasonably interpreted as a low cardiovascular safety margin for frail, elderly men. This differs from previous placebo-controlled studies of testosterone in older men, comprising younger and less frail study populations, that did not report excess cardiovascular adverse events as summarized in systematic reviews or even in small 3-month and 12-month studies of men with cardiac failure. Nevertheless, these testosterone-related adverse findings cannot be regarded as entirely surprising given the well-known male excess of earlier onset, more severe cardiovascular disease together with the comprehensive refutation of the long dominant estrogen protection hypothesis.

These cautionary findings put into perspective the frequently misinterpreted finding of low serum testosterone in men with cardiovascular disease. This regular association in observational studies has become overinterpreted as a risk factor (rather than a consequence), leading to prediction of beneficial (rather than adverse) effects of testosterone treatment. This complacency led to a misplaced focus on prostate cancer as the major risk of testosterone treatment in older men.

This paper saliently highlights the important principle that relatively small adverse effects involving the most common cause of death—cardiovascular disease—overwhelms even substantial benefits on less common or non-fatal disorders. Hence, the TOM study's
confirmation of improved limb muscular strength is overshadowed just as were proven reduction in bone fractures and colorectal cancer in the Women Health Initiative, the loss of highly effective, gastric sparing COX2 analgesics and restrictions on oral antidiabetic glitazones due to their adverse cardiovascular effects.

REFLECTIONS
These papers resonate with echoes of past mistakes. The focus of the EMAS paper on rejuvenating sexual function can be viewed as a reversion to historical type. Both papers are sadly redolent of the HRT saga in which excessive estrogen prescribing was encouraged for decades by overinterpreted observational studies which led to inflated expectations of improved cardiovascular and other objective health benefits rather than mere symptomatic relief. This exuberance was only curbed by the Women Health Initiative study, the first placebo-controlled randomized controlled trial, which provided a sharp reality check in refuting net health benefits and reducing recommended postmenopausal estrogen usage to symptomatic relief for the shortest time necessary. Lest we sleepwalk down that same path, hopefully it will not take decades before the ‘andropause hypothesis’ undergoes analogous rigorous testing.

Another historical echo is from the long-range consequence of using, and inevitably then overusing, clinical diagnostic tests simply because they are available. Decades ago when thyroid function tests first became widely available to evaluate thyroid disorders using immunoassays established in specialist hospital laboratories, inevitably out of curiosity these tests were used in hospitalized patients without thyroid disease. Many proved to have low serum thyroxine (T4) and even lower serum triiodothyronine (T3) without having any known thyroidal disease; furthermore when the patients recovered from the underlying illness, the thyroid function tests reverted to normal. In a classical confusion of means and ends, this came to be known as the ‘sick euthyroid syndrome’, loosely defined as a patient with abnormal thyroid function tests and a non-thyroidal illness, but without intrinsic thyroid disease. This terminology reflected a natural reluctance to treat such patients with thyroid hormones despite clearly abnormal blood thyroid function tests when there was no authentic thyroid pathology. It is now generally recognized that abnormal thyroid function tests in non-thyroidal illness are due to the effects of chronic illness and not hypothyroidism warranting replacement therapy. The increasing use of testosterone assays in non-reproductive disorders appears to be unwittingly repeating this misadventure with the invention of a ‘sick eugonadal syndrome’, yet another name for ‘andropause’. The main difference is that the interest in rectifying reproductive function, with its immediate misidentification with male virility, attracts much greater popular attention than for hypothyroidism.

These papers shine a spotlight on the limitations of clinical best practice guidelines over the last decade. Rather than serving to strengthen evidence-based medicine by restraining unproven overuse, they have become weakened and even eventually a collusive means of sanctioning it. Whereas the first national guidelines for testosterone use published at the start of the decade, aimed to restrict testosterone prescribing for the unproven age-related androgen deficiency without hindering prescribing for pathologically based androgen deficiency, these were followed by European-based guidelines in 2005 and US guidelines in 2006, republished recently essentially unchanged. The latter blurred the distinction between pathologically-based and age-related androgen deficiency, loosening the diagnostic criteria and lacking regulatory force. As such they came to constitute a minimal diagnostic barrier that tacitly collides with rather than deter testosterone misuse. Whether this perverse outcome is an unintended consequence of the failures of the guideline mechanism, or to the connivance of industry-friendly lobbying eroding guideline development is unclear.

These studies are a reminder of the undesirability of direct-to-public advertising of testosterone. Among all therapeutics, the advertising maxim that ‘sex sells’ makes testosterone the most vulnerable to the distortions and confected needs, which are the stock-in-trade of professional marketing of drugs to a public lacking the crucial and technical awareness required to appraise such manipulation. Without protection against this form of manipulative marketing, an avalanche of misguided testosterone prescribing awaits to distort the health and medical care of ageing men.

Ultimately, testosterone prescribing for older men should be restricted to careful clinical trials where diligent ethical oversight will ensure adequate design and suitable warnings to participants. The lowering of blood testosterone in older men due to their concomitant illnesses provides simultaneously both the opportunity for benefit as well as the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Nevertheless, such concerns should not be a barrier to necessary further research aiming to better define the benefits and risks of testosterone in older men. While age alone may not prove a valid indication for testosterone treatment, more specific studies examining the effects of testosterone on the comorbidities of ageing such as obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome are still warranted. Crucially there is no reason to doubt they can still be safely performed within the framework of placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials. Rather than the dubious pursuit of pathologizing of ageing, the evaluation of whether testosterone may have a role in adjunctive treatment of obesity, diabetes, metabolic syndrome or other chronic non-gonadal diseases by vigorous interventional studies featuring randomisation and placebo controls, appear justified by a variety of observational studies.

In their wider impact, these two studies can be construed as pressing the accelerator and the brakes at the same time on testosterone prescribing for older men... with probably the same effect of spinning wheels without moving forward. The challenge remains whether we can break free from the seemingly irresistible pull into a familiar downward spiral to learn from history rather than blindly repeating it.
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