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Abstract

Testosterone levels are lower in men with Metabolic Syndrome and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) and also predict the onset of these adverse metabolic states. Body composition (BMI, waist circumference) is an important mediator of this relationship. Sex Hormone Binding Globulin is also inversely associated with insulin resistance and T2DM but the data regarding oestrogen are inconsistent.

Clinical models of androgen deficiency including Klinefelter's Syndrome and Androgen Deprivation Therapy in the treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer confirm the association between androgens and glucose status. Experimental manipulation of the insulin/glucose milieu and suppression of endogenous testicular function suggests the relationship between androgens and insulin sensitivity is bi-directional.

Androgen therapy in men without diabetes is not able to differentiate the effect on insulin resistance from that on fat mass, in particular visceral adiposity. Similarly, several small clinical studies have examined the efficacy of exogenous testosterone in men with T2DM, however the role of androgens, independent of body composition, in modifying insulin resistance is uncertain.
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Introduction

Male ageing is associated with a decline in serum total testosterone (TT) beginning in the third decade. This was approximately 1-2% per annum in cohorts of men followed for 7-10 years in the Massachusetts Male Aging Study.
(MMAs) (1) and up to 30 years in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (2). The decrease in testosterone however is not universal and men who remain in good health as they age may not experience this decline (3). One of the strongest correlates with falling testosterone levels in middle-aged and ageing men is obesity (4), with a 30% reduction in obese compared to age-matched healthy weight men in the MMAS cohort (5). In the European Male Ageing Study obesity was the most important predictor of low TT (6). In follow-up of the MMAS and EMAS cohorts, men who gained weight had a greater decline in TT (7, 8). Conversely, in the EMAS cohort studied over 4 years, weight loss was associated with a proportional increase in serum testosterone (7). In a group of healthy older Australian men aged 70 yr or older, diabetes, in addition to increasing age, higher BMI and higher waist to hip ratio, was also independently associated with lower TT levels (9).

Ageing is also associated with a reduction in glucose tolerance (10) leading to an increased prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in men as a function of age (11)(cdc). The impact of age on insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function is independent of intra-abdominal fat (12).

A causal role for testosterone in the relationship between insulin resistance, Metabolic Syndrome and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in men as they age is suggested by epidemiological data, models of androgen deficiency and studies of testosterone therapy in men with and without T2DM. However, the mechanisms by which androgen action is mediated, and the contribution independent of the pivotal role of body composition, remain uncertain with conflicting data from in-vivo studies and small clinical trials.

Prevalence of Low Testosterone Levels in Men with Diabetes Mellitus

Serum testosterone levels and the prevalence of biochemical hypoandrogenism (defined according to an arbitrary testosterone cut-off) have been studied in populations of men attending hospital-based diabetes clinics with estimates that 30-50% of men with T2DM have low testosterone (13). 43% of men with T2DM (mean age 65 years) attending an Australian diabetes service had TT levels <10 nmol/L; mean BMI was 30 kg/m² (14). In contrast, only 7% of men with T1DM (mean age 45 years) had a TT level <10 nmol/L with the mean BMI of this group 27 kg/m². Similarly, in a younger US cohort (18-35 years) 33% of men with T2DM were considered hypogonadal based on free testosterone levels compared to 8% of men with T1DM. Mean TT levels were 11 and 23 nmol/L respectively (15). From the HIM US cohort (16) 33% of lean, 44% of overweight, and 46% of obese diabetic men aged >45 years had subnormal TT levels (<10.5 nmol/L). TT was independently predicted by BMI, age and SHBG levels. In a primary care setting in the UK, 4.4% of men with T2DM had TT levels <8.0 nmol/L and 32% had TT levels <12 nmol/L with BMI an independent predictor of low testosterone (17). Of 766 Taiwanese men with T2DM (mean age 62 years; mean age 26 kg/m²), 33% had a TT<10 nmol/L. BMI and waist circumference were the major predictors of biochemical androgen deficiency (13). Similarly, one third of adult diabetic men had TT levels <12 nmol/L in a general hospital setting in Nigeria (18). Finally, in the Japanese Saku Cohort Study Group, testosterone levels were
lower in the 215 diabetic men (aged 65 years; BMI 24 kg/m²) compared to controls who were of a similar age but lower BMI (19).

Sex Steroids and Future Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Metabolic Syndrome

Total Testosterone

Cross sectional studies document an association between lower testosterone levels and subsequent risk of T2DM. A meta-analysis of cross sectional studies (20) showed a difference of -2.66 nmol/L (95% CI -3.45 to -1.86) (P<0.001) in testosterone levels between men with and without T2DM. This difference remained after adjustment for BMI, WHR, age, race and criteria for diagnosis of diabetes in studies conducted in a number of countries. It is noteworthy that in these studies the mean testosterone level in those men with diabetes was above the cut-off (10 nmol/L) considered to potentially represent a less than optimal androgen status (21).

In a meta-analysis of prospective studies there was a difference in testosterone of -2.48 nmol/L (95% CI -4.04 to -0.93) between men with and without T2DM (P=0.02) (20). A subset of four studies of more than 1000 men with TT levels 15.6-21.0 nmol/L described a 42% lower risk of developing diabetes when compared to their peers with TT levels 7.4-15.5 mol/L (RR 0.58 95 %CI 0.39 to 0.87) over 5-11years of follow-up (20). A summary of prospective cohort studies detailing the risk of developing T2DM as a function of TT levels is provided in Table 1.

In the Tromso cohort with 9 years of follow-up (22), the risk of developing diabetes for the highest (>16.2 nmol/L) compared to the lowest (<9.9 nmol/L) quartile of testosterone was 0.41 (95%CI 0.20-084) but TT was no longer predictive when adjusted for waist circumference. Similarly, in the MRFIT cohort (23), the association between testosterone and development of diabetes was not significant after BMI and baseline glucose were accounted for. In the Gothenburg cohort (24) men were classified according to hormonal profiles (an algorithm based on testosterone and cortisol), with those having a baseline TT of 18 nmol/L being more likely to develop a composite endpoint of hypertension, ischaemic heart disease or T2DM than those with a TT of 21nmol/L. Those men with a lower TT also had higher BMI and WC at baseline. This is consistent with previous observations that elevated BMI, increased WC and low TT form an adverse metabolic phenotype but it does not clarify cause and effect.

In the Rancho Bernardo cohort lower TT predicted increased insulin resistance (as measured by HOMA-IR) after adjusting for BMI and age however WC was not included in the model (25). Men with TT levels in the lowest quartile (<8.6 nmol/L) had an OR 2.7 (95%CI 1.1-66) (P=0.03) for developing diabetes when compared to men in the remaining quartiles. Baseline TT in the diabetic group was 10.9 nmol/L, this is relatively lower than in other cohort studies (23, 24, 26-28). In the Kuopio 11 year follow-up study men who developed diabetes had a mean baseline TT of 18 nmol/L and, within this group of 57 men, 11% had TT levels less than 11 nmol/L. Men with TT levels <15.6 nmol/L were more likely to
develop diabetes after adjusting for age, BMI and WC with an OR 1.97 (95%CI 1.03-3.77) (26).

In the MMAS cohort followed for 7-10 years, TT levels were lower in the 5% of men who developed diabetes but TT was not significant in a multivariate model that included BMI (28); this finding persisted after a total of 13 years of follow up (27).

Consistent with the integral role played by body composition in mediating the relationship between TT and risk of diabetes, in data from 1736 men aged 65 years and older from the Cardiovascular Health Study, a prospective cohort study with a median of 12 years of follow-up, the HR for incident diabetes was 5.6 (95% CI 2.7-11.4) for a BMI of ≥28.7 kg/m² compared to <23.3kg/m². For WC ≥106.6 cm the HR was 5.1 (95%CI 2.7-9.) compared to WC <89.1cm. In joint models of BMI and WC both were independently associated with the risk of developing diabetes (29). Further evidence for the inter-relationship between adiposity, insulin resistance and testosterone is provided by a small study of men undergoing bariatric surgery whereby the degree of weight loss was paralleled by an increase in insulin sensitivity and free testosterone levels (30). In a meta-analysis of the effects of weight loss on testosterone levels achieved by low-calorie diets or bariatric surgery, the degree of weight loss was the best determinant of an increase in testosterone levels (31). Although men with diabetes appeared to have a lesser rise in TT, the authors note that this could be accounted for by the lower weight loss observed in diabetic men.

In a non-obese cohort from the MMAS, low TT levels were predictive of development of the metabolic syndrome; this relationship was strongest in the BMI <25 kg/m² range (32) raising the possibility that the role of testosterone in non-obese men may be ameliorated by adiposity in obese men. In cross sectional studies of middle-aged and older men each 5.3 nmol/L increase in TT was associated with a 57% reduction in risk of a diagnosis of metabolic syndrome (33), independent of BMI and WC. A similar magnitude relationship was evident for TT and lower insulin sensitivity and higher fasting serum insulin levels. TT and insulin resistance were also inversely correlated in men ≥70 years independent of BMI and WC (34) with the greatest risk of insulin resistance present in men with TT≤8 mmol/L.

An important limitation to these observational studies is the use of a single baseline measurement of testosterone. Differences in the way in which diabetes is diagnosed further confound comparison of the cohorts.

SHBG

Based on a number of prospective studies including TT and SHBG, it has been concluded that SHBG is the more important determinant of T2DM. (27, 35). Moreover, in men with T2DM, SHBG but not TT was independently associated with worse glycaemic control (14).

In a cohort of 170 men from the Physicians Health Study with newly diagnosed diabetes, SHBG levels were strongly inversely associated with risk of T2DM (36). This was independent of BMI although WC was not included in the models. Prospective studies confirm this inverse relationship (23, 27, 28) but again did
not include WC in the modelling. In the Tromso cohort, after accounting for WC, SHBG no longer predicted T2DM (22), and in the Kupio cohort with the addition of features of insulin resistance, strongly associated with WC, the strength of the relationship with SHBG was attenuated although the OR remained significant 2.74 (95%CI 1.42-5.29) (26).

In cross sectional and longitudinal analyses from the Framingham Heart Study SHBG but not testosterone was associated with incident metabolic syndrome in men aged 61 years with BMI 28.8 kg/m² after adjusting for age and BMI (37). SHBG was independently associated with metabolic syndrome after adjusting for both BMI and WC (33) in men aged 40-80 years and was also associated with insulin sensitivity although the strength of the correlation was diminished when BMI and WC were added to the model; the cohort included BMIs ranging from normal to obese. The association of SHBG with insulin resistance was also independent of total and intra-abdominal body fat as measured by DEXA and CT in men aged 45-65 years, 31% of whom were obese, from the Puget Sound Veteran’s Affairs cohort (38). In non-obese men from a MMAS cohort the strength of the association between SHBG and metabolic syndrome was greatest in men with BMI <25 kg/m², similar to that seen with TT (32). Low SHBG levels did not predict metabolic syndrome in men with BMI ≥25 kg/m² suggesting that adiposity is the dominant factor in these men. Furthermore SHBG was not associated with insulin resistance when adjusted for WC in men aged ≥70 years (34). Thus it may be that SHBG and adiposity interact as a function of age and baseline obesity status to contribute differentially to the development of metabolic syndrome in men.

Free testosterone

The utility of free testosterone in predicting risk of T2DM is uncertain and data interpretation is limited by methodological concerns regarding the way in which free testosterone is measured (39). In the Tromso (22) and Rancho Bernardo (25) cohorts FT was not predictive of T2DM. FT was a predictor in men in the MMAS cohort after 7-10 years (no WC data) but not after 13 years of follow-up (27); the influence of longer follow-up periods and a greater number of men diagnosed with T2DM is not known.

Oestrogen

A correlation between oestradiol levels and risk of T2DM in men after controlling for BMI (36) and WC (22) has been demonstrated in some studies but neither total nor bioavailable oestradiol was able to predict IGT or T2DM in men in cross-sectional (40) or prospective (25) analyses of the Rancho Bernardo cohort. In the Framingham Heart Study oestrone but not oestradiol was correlated with T2DM after 7 years of follow-up after adjusting for BMI; WC was not included in the model (41).

Models of Androgen Deficiency and Insulin Resistance / Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Klinefelter’s Syndrome
Men with Klinefelter’s Syndrome are at increased risk of both Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes (42) with hazard ratios of 2.21 (T1DM) and 3.71 (T2DM) respectively. They have a reduced median survival of 2.1 years with diabetes a contributor to the cause-specific mortality (HR 1.6) (43, 44). In a cohort of 71 men with Klinefelter’s Syndrome 44% had features of the metabolic syndrome compared to 10% of controls (45). After controlling for TT levels, truncal obesity is a major determinant of insulin resistance in Klinefelter’s Syndrome (46). Although expert clinical opinion advises testosterone therapy to improve body composition aiming to prevent metabolic syndrome and/or diabetes mellitus, the evidence base for this is lacking (47).

Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Advanced Prostate Cancer

Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) is associated with increased insulin resistance, independent of age and BMI (48). The relationship between ADT and insulin resistance appears to be a continuum with short term therapy resulting in reduced insulin sensitivity and longer term ADT leading to hyperglycaemia and subsequently increasing the risk of metabolic syndrome and overt T2DM (49). Men treated with combined androgen blockade experienced increased fasting insulin levels and decreased insulin sensitivity after 12 weeks, although with no change in glucose levels (50), and a cross-sectional study of longer-term ADT demonstrated higher fasting glucose levels with ADT compared to men with non-metastatic prostate cancer not treated with ADT and a non-cancer control group. The ADT group also had higher fasting insulin levels and increased insulin resistance after adjustment for age and BMI (48). Thus it may be that the relatively rapid development of insulin resistance in the setting of ADT is a compensatory mechanism to maintain normal glucose levels, however, after prolonged treatment, the hyperinsulinaemic response becomes inadequate to maintain euglycaemia (51). ADT-associated adverse body composition changes are likely to further exacerbate insulin resistance.

Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus appears to be more common with prolonged ADT. After an average of 45 months of therapy in 18 men, 44% satisfied the fasting glucose criterion for diabetes mellitus, compared with 11% of a non-cancer control group (n=18) and 12% of men with treated metastatic prostate cancer who did not have ADT (n=17), although the numbers in this cross-sectional study were small (48). The risk of incident diabetes in large observational studies comparing men treated with ADT with those not receiving ADT have reported significantly elevated risks, with hazard ratios (adjusted for confounders) ranging from 1.16 to 1.44 (52-54). Two of these studies included only men 66 years or older (52, 54) while the other included men of all ages with over 40% of the cohort younger than 66 years (53). The risk of developing diabetes with ADT was independent of known risk factors (55). Glycaemic control may also deteriorate in men with known T2DM exposed to ADT. In a retrospective analysis of 77 men with pre-existing T2DM treated with ADT, almost 20% experienced a minimum 10% increase in HbA1c (56).

Experimental Androgen Deficiency / Insulin Resistance

Epidemiological studies examining the relationships between sex steroids and insulin resistance / T2DM are not able to adequately stratify according to baseline age, BMI, WC and insulin sensitivity. In turn pre-existing obesity and/or insulin
resistance may influence the response of the HPT-axis to perturbations in the glucose/insulin milieu. Additionally, such studies do not allow sufficiently for assessing relationships between testosterone and glucose/insulin independent of the effect of SHBG and to investigate the possibility that the relationship is bi-directional. Thus, in-vivo studies manipulating androgen status and/or insulin sensitivity provide valuable insights into the cause and effect nature of the relationship.

In a small study of diazoxide-induced suppression of insulin secretion and worsening glucose tolerance, a lowering of total TT in obese but not normal weight men was observed. Using an alternative approach with a protocol of induced hypogonadism with a GnRH antagonist, followed by sequential stimulation of the HPT-axis with physiological doses of GnRH and human chorionic gonadotropin (as an LH substitute), in men with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance, and diabetes mellitus, and with BMI range 24-46 kg/m², it was demonstrated that insulin resistance was associated with a decrease in Leydig cell testosterone secretory capacity. There was no correlation between insulin sensitivity and either endogenous LH secretion or the LH response to exogenous GnRH, suggesting the deficit in the HPT-axis associated with insulin resistance occurs at the levels of the testis. An increase in TT levels was seen during the hyperinsulinemic phase of the glucose clamp perhaps suggesting that high levels of insulin are able to overcome insulin resistance in the testis. This is consistent with a small euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp study whereby there was an inverse baseline relationship between insulin resistance and TT but a significant increase in TT in obese men with acute hyperinsulinaemia. Interestingly, in both studies a greater response was seen in obese men. Glucose levels were maintained in the normal range during both clamp studies.

Evidence for the role of androgens in direct modulation of insulin sensitivity is suggested by the observation that, in a group of otherwise healthy young men with idiopathic hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism studied after withdrawal of testosterone replacement therapy, fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR were increased in the absence of a change in body composition. Healthy young men administered a GnRH agonist and add-back testosterone therapy did not show a change in insulin sensitivity as a function of graded testosterone levels although the lowest TT level was approximately 9nmol/L compared to castrate levels in the IHH men, suggesting a threshold for testosterone effect.

The Impact of Acute Changes in Glucose and Insulin on Testosterone

Whilst chronic hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinaemia are associated with hypoandrogenism, and low testosterone levels may play a role in the development of T2DM, the impact of more acute changes in glucose and/or insulin on the HPT-axis is less well understood.

Following the observation that insulin-induced hypoglycaemia in healthy young men led to a rapid decrease in serum TT levels, euglycemic and hypoglycaemic clamp experiments, again in healthy young men, demonstrated hypoglycaemia-mediated suppression of TT secretion and LH levels, suggesting impaired hypothalamo-pituitary action. Manipulation of insulin levels did not
influence either testosterone or LH (63). It is not stipulated however it is probable that these subjects were of normal BMI and were not insulin resistant.

Manipulation of the glucose milieu in clinical scenarios may also influence testosterone levels. It has been demonstrated that glucose administration impacts TT levels in men without pre-existing androgen deficiency with implications for the biochemical categorization of androgen status. A standard 75-gm OGTT resulted in a 25% reduction in serum TT levels across a range of BMIs and glucose tolerance with 15% of men recorded a TT level of <9.7nmol/L on at least one occasion during the 120 minute sampling period (64), confirming earlier observations (65). SHBG and LH levels (measured at 5 time points), were unchanged, however a deconvolution analysis study of 50 men with age range 18-80 yrs and BMI range 20-40kg/m² with normal baseline glucose tolerance (66) demonstrated a glucose-induced fall in pulsatile LH secretion which was exacerbated by higher fasting insulin concentrations.

Consistent with these observations, in an Australian study of over 300 men aged 40-97 years who were sampled on multiple occasions over a 3-month period, testosterone levels were higher after an overnight fast (3). More prolonged fasting however has been shown to reduce LH and testosterone secretion in men (67) although with differential effects as a function of age (68).

Whilst the mechanisms underlying these observations remain to be elucidated, one practical implication is consideration of measuring testosterone in a fasted state, in addition to morning sampling (21), to avoid recording artefactually low testosterone readings.

Androgen Therapy and Insulin Sensitivity

The observations of inverse associations between endogenous testosterone levels and hyperinsulinaemia (69) and an increased likelihood of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (refer Table 1) have led to the hypothesis that testosterone therapy may improve insulin sensitivity. Results from studies of testosterone therapy in non-diabetic men using gold standard methods are inconsistent and comparisons limited due to varied subject characteristics and dose and duration of androgen treatment (70). Young, lean subjects did not demonstrate any change in insulin sensitivity across a wide range of serum testosterone levels in a 20-week dose-response study despite a dose-related reduction in fat mass (61). Centrally obese middle-aged men receiving testosterone showed an improvement in insulin sensitivity (by hyperinsulinaemic / euglycaemic clamp studies) and a lowering of serum insulin levels (71), however these results were not seen with DHT (72) or oxandrolone (when administered to a similarly obese cohort) (73). In ageing men hCG administered for 3 months did not affect insulin sensitivity (as measured by euglycaemic clamp) (74). It was unclear from these studies as to whether changes in serum testosterone are able to mediate insulin sensitivity independent of their effect on fat mass (specifically visceral fat). Comparison of data sets is difficult as those middle-aged men showing improved insulin sensitivity had higher fat mass and greater waist circumference at baseline (71) than the ageing men treated with hCG (74). Furthermore the middle-aged cohort had significant visceral fat loss with treatment (71) and although total fat mass declined in the older men there was no data regarding regional adipose tissue

...
changes (74); it is also possible that the duration of hCG treatment was insufficient. In a 12-month placebo-controlled study of testosterone therapy in non-obese men (satisfying both BMI and WC criteria) visceral fat change was inversely related to the change in serum TT in the men receiving testosterone (75); insulin resistance did not change however only small numbers of metabolically healthy men were studied. Whilst anabolic steroids (oxandrolone) demonstrate a significant reduction in abdominal fat they have been associated with insulin resistance, thought to be mediated through hepatotoxicity (73).

Testosterone Therapy in Men with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

The first study to describe the impact of testosterone therapy on glycaemic control in diabetes (76) enrolled men aged 45-65 years with T2DM diabetes and TT <15.1 nmol/L with oral testosterone undecanoate (120 mg per day) for 3 months in an open-label trial. HbA1c was reduced by 1.8% (17% improvement) (P<0.05) and BMI and WC also decreased. The subjects continued on their usual diabetic medication (approximately one third were treated with insulin). However, the study was not placebo controlled and therefore the effect of participation per se could not be determined. Further, the reduction in HbA1c was somewhat greater than expected over this small time interval. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial studied slightly older men with lower baseline TT levels (requirement <12 nmol/L on 2 occasions) but better diabetes control (77). Again approximately 30% of men were using insulin therapy. Men were treated with 200mg of intramuscular testosterone esters every 2 weeks and received 6 injections in total. HOMA-IR improved in the men not on insulin and HbA1c was reduced by -0.37% (5% reduction) (P=0.03). Waist circumference was reduced (by 1.6cm; P=0.03) and there was a trend to reduction in percentage body fat in this group of men whose mean BMI was 33kg/m^2 at baseline. A single-blind study of 52 weeks duration (78) also studied men with a TT<12 nmol/L on 2 occasions and a similar HbA1c at baseline (7.5%), although these men were newly diagnosed and were therefore not receiving concurrent treatment for T2DM. Additionally, as an entry criteria all men had metabolic syndrome. The longer duration of treatment, achieving a 47% increase in serum testosterone levels whilst maintaining them within a physiological range (TT increased from 10.5 to 15.4 nmol/L), resulted in a further reduction in HbA1c of 0.8% (P<0.001) with the combination of testosterone, diet and exercise compared to diet and exercise alone (reduction in HbA1c 0.5%; P=NS). All men treated with testosterone recorded an HbA1c of <7.0% at the completion of the study. WC was reduced by approximately 10cm in the testosterone group, a greater effect than with lifestyle intervention alone (non significant effect). All men completed the study protocol and no adverse effects were recorded. For details of these studies refer to Table 2.

As reviewed by Jones (79) it is not clear from these studies whether the effect of testosterone on glycaemic control is medicated by change in body composition or if there is a direct impact on insulin sensitivity. These men were all obese at baseline with low-normal range testosterone levels, consistent with their body composition (5, 6). It remains to be determined whether the same outcomes would be observed in men with T2DM who are not obese. Furthermore, if these testosterone levels are ‘normal’ for men with T2DM and obesity, is testosterone
therapy in these circumstances physiological or pharmacological? Finally, only small numbers of men were studied and only one study extended to 12 months.

The only double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study reported to date was conducted in 36 centres in Europe (80) (Table 2). Men aged ≥40 years with TT ≤11 nmol/L or FT≤255 pmol/L on 2 occasions with T2DM and/or metabolic syndrome were randomized to 2% transdermal testosterone gel for 12 months. Placebo treated subjects did not receive advice regarding diet or exercise. 62% of men had T2DM at baseline. Only 71% of men completed 6 months and 54% 12 months of the study protocol. The reasons for withdrawal were varied but no adverse cardiac or prostate events were reported in the testosterone treated group. TT levels increased by 19.5 nmol/L from a baseline of 9.2 nmol/L with the dose of testosterone gel adjusted to keep TT within the pre-determined range of 17-52 nmmol/L. Despite this arguably supraphysiological 12-month treatment regimen, and a baseline BMI of 33kg/m², no change in BMI, WC or percent body fat was achieved. Likewise there was no effect on total or LDL-cholesterol. This is not consistent with previous studies of testosterone therapy on body composition and lipid parameters in non-diabetic men (81, 82). There was no change in fasting insulin or glucose although HOMA-IR was reduced in men with T2DM with a similar (but non-significant) trend in men with metabolic syndrome. Although the data are extremely limited, the lack of efficacy of testosterone supplementation in the TIMES2 study in the absence of a favourable effect on body composition supports the notion that changes in glycaemic/insulin parameters are secondary to a reduction in adiposity. A placebo-controlled trial currently underway in which obese men with low-normal testosterone levels and impaired glucose tolerance are randomized to testosterone in addition to all men partaking in a weight loss program to prevent progression to T2DM (T4DM) (Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry: ACTRN12612000287831) should provide valuable insights into the mechanisms by which androgen action is mediated.

Conclusions

An association between sex steroids - most importantly total testosterone and SHBG - and insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and T2DM has been demonstrated in epidemiological studies and clinical and experimental models of androgen deficiency. Body composition, most importantly visceral fat mass, is a critical determinant of the bi-directional relationship between androgens and insulin resistance, although limited evidence also supports a direct causal role. Ongoing clinical trials will aid in understanding the role of testosterone (as either physiological replacement or a pharmacological agent) in the treatment of men with insulin resistance /T2DM.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Cases/Controls</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
<th>Men with Diabetes</th>
<th>Ability of Serum Testosterone to Predict Risk of Developing Diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haffner (1996) MRFIT</td>
<td>176/352*</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>46 yrs</td>
<td>17.4 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellato (2000) MMAS</td>
<td>54/1042</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>56 yrs</td>
<td>15.2 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh (2002) Rancho Bernardo</td>
<td>26/268</td>
<td>8 yrs</td>
<td>68 yrs</td>
<td>10.9 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosmond (2003) Gothenburg</td>
<td>73/68</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>51 yrs</td>
<td>18.0 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laaksonen (2004) Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study</td>
<td>57/645</td>
<td>11 yrs</td>
<td>52 yrs</td>
<td>18.0 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshman (2010) MMAS</td>
<td>90/1038</td>
<td>13 yrs</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16.3 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vikan (2010) Tromso</td>
<td>76/1378</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>61 yrs</td>
<td>11.3 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*based on composite of testosterone and cortisol levels
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>TT (nmol/L)</th>
<th>HbA1c (%)</th>
<th>Testosterone Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boyanov (2003)</td>
<td>Open-label Randomized</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Oral Testosterone Undecanoate 120mg per day</td>
<td>HbA1c ↓1.8% (P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapoor (2006)</td>
<td>Double-blind Placebo-controlled Cross-over</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Intramuscular Testosterone esters every 2 weeks x 6 injections</td>
<td>HbA1c ↓0.4% (P=0.03)  Waist Circumference ↓  HOMA-IR ↓ (if not treated with insulin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heufelder (2009)</td>
<td>Single-blind Randomized</td>
<td>52 weeks</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Testosterone Gel 50mg/Day Diet/exercise</td>
<td>HbA1c ↓1.3% (P&lt;0.001)  Waist Circumference ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones (2011)</td>
<td>Double-blind Placebo-controlled Randomized</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>220*</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Testosterone Gel 60 mg/Day</td>
<td>No change HbA1c  No change body composition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*157 completed 6 months; 118 completed 12 months