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Dear editor,

We have recently developed a novel surgical approach for the management of bilateral testicular hypotrophy, allowing both the preservation of gonadic function and some penile lengthening: aim of this letter is to describe our surgical technique, reporting the first two cases treated with this approach. Both patients were affected by non-mosaic Klinefelter syndrome, presenting with infertility, severe testicular hypotrophy and small penile size: the main patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. They severely complained about their scrotal appearance and small penile size: their discomfort was such that BDD (body dysmorphic disorder) was diagnosed after psychiatric evaluation. Both patients required a procedure able to restore an satisfying scrotal appearance and to achieve an acceptable penile length, while allowing a bilateral microdissection testicular sperm extraction (microTeSE), all through a single surgical incision.

A V-shaped inverted suprapubic incision was performed, followed by an incision of the Scarpa’s fascia proximal to the penopubic junction. The suspensor ligament of the penis was than isolated transversally incised and detached from the peristeam of the pubis bone. The spermatic chords were isolated bilaterally. The gonads were bilaterally externalized from the scrotum after incision of the gubernaculum testis on both sides. A microTeSE was bilaterally performed, together with testicular biopsy for histological evaluation. The right gonad was then mobilized and transferred to the contralateral hemiscrotum through the infrapubic incision, leaving in place the scrotal septum. In the right hemiscrotum, left empty, we placed medium-sized testicular prosthesis (20 cc). Finally, a V-Y skin plasty with an aesthetic running intradermal suture was performed (Figure 1).

Mean operative time was 95 minutes. No intraoperative or postoperative complications were recorded. At follow-up visits scheduled at 1 week, 6 months and 1 year after surgery, both patients were satisfied with the cosmetic appearance of the scrotum: the placement of both testicles in the left hemiscrotum did not impair their blood supply, as demonstrated by the Color Doppler ultrasound. The endocrinological testicular function was preserved. Unfortunately, both patients were diagnosed with complete azoospermia with histological tubular sclerohyalinosis. The postoperative stretched penile measurement demonstrated a real penile lengthening of 1.5 cm in both cases, which was found acceptable by both patients. As for subjective aesthetic outcomes, both patients said to be completely satisfied with surgery outcomes, reporting a gain in self-confidence. A psychiatric evaluation, conducted 4 months postoperatively, described an improvement in body self-perception thanks to the encouraging cosmetic results of the procedure. Testicular hypotrophy is a rare condition that typically affects young patients, with strong psychological repercussions. Main causes are genetic disorders, such as Klinefelter or Kallmann syndrome¹. BDD is a disorder which further impairs the quality of life of these already frail patients, and must not be underestimated². Therefore, surgeries aiming to restore an acceptable body image at the cost of minimal morbidity are very important in this category of patients.
Romano et al.³ recently reported a new surgical approach using chin implants for testicular augmentation. In their case report, a single inguinal approach was used to manage a monolateral testicular hypotrophy. According to this technique, our patients would have required a bilateral inguinal incision. Moreover, the concomitant presence of the testicle and chin prosthesis in the hemiscrotum might lead to an increased risk of infection and testicular damage. In addition, there is a risk of non-tolerability of the prosthetic device⁴. Finally, our patients, affected by bilateral hypotrophy, would have required two prostheses, with higher costs of the procedure.

Ferro et al.⁵ suggested an innovative approach in two young patients affected by Kallmann syndrome, which involved testicular transposition through the septum with a single testicular prosthesis implantation in the hemiscrotum left empty. In our opinion, testicular transposition via a scrotal approach presents the risk of torsion or compression of the cord of the mobilized testis during the passage through the scrotal septum. Moreover, leaving a communication between the two hemiscrotums can increase the risk of infection and testicular damage. All these problems are overcome in our novel approach, performed through a V-inverted suprapubic incision, which leaves intact the scrotal septum and creates no communication between the two hemiscrotums. Moreover, our technique allows the contemporary incision of the suspensor ligaments of the penis and the creation of a V-Y skin flap, with a satisfactory penile lengthening.

The debate about the benefits of penile lengthening procedure in patients with “short penis” is still ongoing: some question that penile length is normal in most of these men who tend to overestimate normal phallic dimensions and do not need any surgical procedure⁶. Furthermore, surgical procedures of lengthening phalloplasty remain a controversial issue⁷,⁸. This feature is complicated by a lack of universally accepted parameters for normal penile size evaluation. According to Wessells et al.⁹, normal penile dimensions should be considered to be any length within 2 SDs of the mean, that is >4 cm for the flaccid state and >7.5 cm for the stretched state. We can say that BDD diagnosed in our patients was not a purely psychiatric issue, as both patients had penile measurements at the lowest limits of normality. We think that in this case, a surgical correction can be helpful to restore both a normal anatomy and an acceptable body image. Penile lengthening procedures can improve patient self-esteem in dysmorphophobic patients even if the length gain is not up to patient expectations¹⁰. As for penile lengthening, our approach follows surgical techniques already well-known and effective⁷: the real strength resides in the possibility of performing different procedures with the same, small incision, limiting the morbidity of the procedure.

In summary, our novel combined technique has shown to be safe and effective: we believe that it represents a good way to contemporarily treat different problems and it is surely a good option in a complex setting of patients such as the hypogonadic Klinefelter ones. These promising results obviously need to be confirmed in a bigger series of patients, but we believe to have found a good strategy to address at the same time two major problems –testicular hypotrophy and short penis- which affect a considerable number of patients, especially with genetic disorders.
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**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular volume (ml)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile stretched length (cm)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>&gt; 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (mUI l^-1)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.8 – 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (mUI l^-1)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone (ng ml^-1)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.5 – 9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Bilateral testicular hypotrophy. The right gonad was mobilized and transferred to the contralateral hemiscrotum. A medium-size testicular prosthesis was placed in the empty right hemiscrotum.