

Asian Journal of Andrology

亚洲男性学杂志
CN 31-1795/R
ISSN 1008-682X (Print)
ISSN 1745-7262 (Online)

**This article is authors' accepted manuscripts in
Asian Journal of Andrology.**

OnlineFirst Author's accepted Manuscripts are PDF versions of manuscripts that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication, but not yet copyedited or typeset and is not the final version for publication, allowing readers the most rapid access to accepted papers. They appear on the OnlineFirst page as submitted by the authors, subject to the authors' agreement, and do not reflect the changes that will be made before final publication. The Author Manuscript remains on the OnlineFirst page until it is either replaced by the final proof version or when the final version of the paper is published in an online issue.

Invited Research Highlight: Prostate Cancer

From bench to bedside: bipolar androgen therapy in a pilot clinical study

Qing Zhang¹, Phillip J Gray²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore.

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence: Dr. PJ Gray (pjgray@partners.org)

Prostate cancer remains a leading cause of cancer death in Europe and the United States and is an emerging problem in Asia despite significant improvements in available treatments over the last few decades. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been the core treatment of advance-staged disease since the discovery of prostate cancer's androgen dependence in 1941 by Huggins and colleagues ¹. Options for initial medical treatment include GnRH analogues such as leuprolide (LHRH agonist) and degarelix (LHRH antagonist) and androgen receptor (AR) binding agents such as bicalutamide. Although most patients will initially respond to either surgical or medical castration there is almost always progression to castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) necessitating treatment with more novel agents ². However, even drugs such as abiraterone and enzalutamide, two next-generation agents used commonly in metastatic CRPC, have failed to demonstrate persistent efficacy in most patients ^{3,4}.

Emerging research has proposed several mechanisms of resistance to ADT including constitutively active AR splice variants, overexpression of AR, and mutations of the ligand-binding-domain (LBD) of AR ⁵. Pre-clinical studies published by Isaacs *et al.* ⁶ and Haffner *et al.* ⁷ on adaptive auto-regulation of AR and induction of DNA damage with testosterone therapy in CRPC cells provide a rationale for a novel approach to overcoming castration resistance: bipolar androgen therapy (BAT). By actively exposing

cells with adaptive changes in AR function to supraphysiologic levels of androgen, nuclear AR loses the flexibility to be removed from origin of DNA replication sites (ORS) thereby interrupting mitosis and causing tumor cell death. This is then followed by a return to a castrate level of testosterone leaving surviving cells with baseline low AR or adaptive down-regulated AR again vulnerable to cell death.

Translating this work from bench to bedside, Schweizer *et al.*⁸ recently published their experience on CRPC patients treated with multiple sequential cycles of supraphysiologic androgen in the setting of ongoing ADT. This single-institution pilot study enrolled 16 asymptomatic CRPC patients with low-to-moderate burden metastatic disease, previously treated with chronic androgen ablation for over 1 year. Patients received three 28-day cycles of combination testosterone cypionate (d1, 400 mg intramuscular) and etoposide (d1-14, 100 mg oral), while continuing LHRH agonist therapy in order to suppress endogenous testosterone synthesis and allow rapid cycling. In this study, supraphysiologic testosterone levels (mean $>1500 \text{ ng dl}^{-1}$) were achieved 2 days after injection in the majority of patients with a drop to $\sim 600 \text{ ng/dl}$ 2 weeks post- and to $\sim 150 \text{ ng dl}^{-1}$ 4 weeks post-treatment. No patient returned to a castrate level of $<50 \text{ ng dl}^{-1}$ 28 days after therapy initiation though many approached this level. The primary endpoint of the study was the rate of PSA decline below baseline after 3 cycles of therapy. Radiographic disease was followed with CT scans every 3 months and bone scans every 6 months. Patients with PSA trending down or $\leq 50\%$ of pretreatment baseline continued on treatment until disease progression was seen.

Fourteen out of 16 patients completed the first 3 cycles of therapy; two patients dropped out of the study after a single cycle due to toxicity. Seven patients (50%) demonstrated a PSA response, 6 after the initial stage. Eight out of 10 (80%) patients with RECIST-evaluable soft tissue metastases at baseline did not progress on treatment with 1 CR, 4 PRs and 3 patients with stable disease. No patient had progressive bony metastatic disease per PCWG2 criteria. A post hoc analysis of PSA progression in patients with subsequent second-line ADT after BAT showed a 100% response and a reversal of

批注 [LY1]: To Medknow: do not change such forms.

anti-androgen resistance in 2 cases. Most adverse events were consistent with known side effects of etoposide. None of the patients developed new pain or skeletal events. Moreover, quality of life improved as patients with intact sexual function before ADT had a return of sexual function during the study.

This pilot study showed that BAT was not only well-tolerated but demonstrated efficacy in the form of a PSA decline in 50% of patients with many also achieving a significant radiographic response. All patients who progressed responded to subsequent second-line hormonal therapies. These data reinforce the available pre-clinical studies supporting the potential of BAT to reverse resistance to androgen-ablative therapies. This trial also raises awareness of the potential value of chemotherapeutic agents such as etoposide which has previously demonstrated little clinical efficacy⁹ but may have the potential of enhancing double-strand breaks when used in conjunction with BAT.

Though promising, there are several issues which warrant careful consideration. First, these results are not applicable to all patients with metastatic CRPC. Patients with symptomatic metastatic disease were excluded from this study given concerns of worsening of pain with high levels of testosterone. Additionally, patients on ADT for <1 year were not included given concerns of lack of a reasonable level of adaptive AR. Second, while promising, the risk/benefit ratio of adding etoposide to BAT needs further evaluation especially as the majority of the detected toxicities could be attributed to etoposide¹⁰. So far, docetaxel-based chemotherapy has the strongest track record of efficacy in men with CRPC¹¹. Additionally, cabazitaxel has demonstrated efficacy in patients pretreated with docetaxel. How best to sequence chemotherapy and hormonal therapies and which drug and dosage to choose for achieving maximum synergistic effects are among the essential questions to answer with future clinical trials. Potential clinical cross-resistance between taxanes, androgen-directed agents and novel chemotherapeutic approaches require further elucidation.

Additionally, questions remain in terms of which patients are most likely to respond to BAT.

In the authors' preclinical work, androgen rapidly eliminated AR variant expression in VCaP cells but not in CWR22-Rv1 cells. LNCaP cells with T877A-mutated AR and LAPC-4 cells with wild type AR show differential responses to androgen and non-steroid anti-androgen therapy ¹². Significant inter-tumor heterogeneity in terms of mutational profiles also complicates the potential broad application of this therapy ¹³. Further genomic analyses will no doubt shed further light on the efficacy of BAT and its potential pitfalls. Lastly, while the results of this pilot study are encouraging, a larger patient cohort with refined stratification and long-term follow-up is required before BAT can be widely accepted into clinical practice.

In conclusion, this initial clinical study shows encouraging results for BAT as a novel treatment for patients with metastatic CRPC. If its efficacy and safety are verified in future prospective clinical trials, BAT will be yet another valuable arrow in the Oncologist's quiver and a strong example of translating important findings from the bench to the bedside.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Huggins C, Hodges CV. Studies on prostatic cancer: I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. 1941. *J UROLOGY* 2002; **168**: 9-12.
2. Montgomery RB, Mostaghel EA, Vessella R, Hess DL, Kalhorn TF *et al.* Maintenance of intratumoral androgens in metastatic prostate cancer: a mechanism for castration-resistant tumor growth. *CANCER RES* 2008; **68**: 4447-54.
3. Schweizer MT, Antonarakis ES. Abiraterone and other novel androgen-directed strategies for the treatment of prostate cancer: a new era of hormonal therapies is born. *Ther Adv Urol* 2012; **4**: 167-78.
4. Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf DE, Loriot Y, Sternberg CN *et al.* Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 424-33.
5. Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Wang H, Luber B, Nakazawa M *et al.* AR-V7 and resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 1028-38.
6. Isaacs JT, D'Antonio JM, Chen S, Antony L, Dalrymple SP *et al.* Adaptive auto-regulation of androgen receptor provides a paradigm shifting rationale for bipolar androgen therapy (BAT) for castrate resistant human prostate cancer. *Prostate* 2012; **72**: 1491-505.
7. Haffner MC, Aryee MJ, Toubaji A, Esopi DM, Albadine R *et al.* Androgen-induced TOP2B-mediated double-strand breaks and prostate cancer gene rearrangements. *Nat Genet* 2010; **42**: 668-75.
8. Schweizer MT, Antonarakis ES, Wang H, Ajiboye AS, Spitz A *et al.* Effect of bipolar androgen therapy for asymptomatic men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: Results from a pilot clinical study. *Sci Transl Med* 2015; **7**: 269ra2.
9. Hussain MH, Pienta KJ, Redman BG, Cummings GD, Flaherty LE. Oral etoposide in the treatment of hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer* 1994; **74**: 100-3.
10. Schweizer MT, Antonarakis ES. Chemotherapy and its evolving role in the management of advanced prostate cancer. *Asian J Androl* 2014; **16**: 334-40.
11. Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, Horti J, Pluzanska A *et al.* Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**: 1502-12.
12. Chuu CP, Kokontis JM, Hiipakka RA, Fukuchi J, Lin HP *et al.* Androgen suppresses proliferation of castration-resistant LNCaP 104-R2 prostate cancer cells through androgen receptor, Skp2, and c-Myc. *Cancer Sci* 2011; **102**: 2022-8.
13. Mendonca J, Sharma A, Kachhap S. Transcriptome sequencing in prostate cancer identifies inter-tumor heterogeneity. *Asian J Androl* 2014 Dec 2. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.143750. [Epub ahead of print]